The Gift of the Rev D. Findelay to Granville Sharp The author a A N Allegyman in Jeotland A T T E M P T

TO SHEW THAT THE

KNOWLEDGE

OF

G O D.

HAS.

IN ALL AGES, BEEN DERIVED

FROM

REVELATION OR TRADITION,

NOT FROM

NATURE.

THROUGH FAITH WE UNDERSTAND THAT THE WORLDS WERE FRAMED BY THE WORD OF GOD.

HEB. xi. 3.

## GLASGOW:

Printed by A. DUNCAN and COMPANY.
Sold by J. DUNCAN, Bookfeller, opposite the Guard, Trongate;
And by James Dickson, Bookfeller, Edinburgh.

MDCCLXXIII.

[ Price One Shilling. ]

75 6 BHT TABT WHESOT T.O. eu a II caving that days, and the MOLT TO THE STATE OF THE THE WEST CHARLESSONS BUILDING THE POSSESSION to dany our the content kindy sensow. The second secon OF OFFICE AND STRATE OF BUT HAS BUT AND BUT OF Cald by . Due care, Benfel 'as eppelies the Carel, a congett and Ardly ferras theory on the Willer, Lifetingby Fig. . THE REAL PROPERTY. f more mind of the

n.v.D.

cide :

ribaliy • KUA I

of h

and kind

God

nece

in h

ec m

ec W

,olias La A

# KNOWLEDGE

O F

# G O D

DERIVED FROM

REVELATION OR TRADITION,

NOT FROM

NATURE.

BOOK I

follow, that stroy the being proceedings to the

PART I. SECT. I.

THE knowledge of God is allowed by all, to be the foundation of religion, and therefore of happiness; but concerning the kinds of knowledge, and the means by which they have been attained, mankind are not entirely agreed; there is a knowledge of God, communicated by his word and Spirit, which is necessarily connected with eternal life. Said our Lord, in his prayer \*, "Thou hast given him power over all "flesh, that he should give eternal life to as many as "thou hast given him; and this is life eternal, that they might know thee, the only true God, and Jesus Christ, whom thou hast sent: I have manifested thy name

<sup>14</sup> Homels of on h. John xvii. 3, and 6.

" unto the men which thou hast given me out of the world."

Taking it for granted, that this knowledge could not be attained, unless by the means now mentioned, or that the light of nature could not communicate that knowledge of God, which our Saviour says is eternal life, I do not propose here to treat directly of it.

There is a knowledge of God, which all men, in all nations, whether they had immediate access to external revelation, or not, have, in some measure, obtained. Concerning this we are to inquire; and if it shall be made appear, that, by the light of nature alone, men never did, and never could, attain to that knowledge, it will evidently follow, that, by that light, men could never have known God, so, as to obtain, by that means, eternal life.

By what means have men, in all ages, attained to this knowledge? not by innate ideas; for the opinion which long prevailed in favour of these, is now, with great reafon, exploded: not by information, or instruction, say our opponents; this, in their opinion, was by no means necessary: nor by inspiration; this nobody pleads in the present case: but by the light of nature; by this, alone, it is said, men might know that there was a God; and, by this, they have actually discovered that interesting truth, that the relation between him and his works is so evident, that a man endowed with reason, and therefore able to compare things together, and to observe or feel the refult of that comparison, must immediately, or after a very short process, conclude that there is a God.

Reason is, indeed, a most excellent gift; but we are apt to ascribe more to it than truth and experience will permit; to consider it as able to pervade the universe, and to discover all truths, to know and to do almost all

ot

r

0

things; whereas a little attention will shew, that the principles with which it fets out, are very few, obvious and fimple, that its progress is flow, and its sphere much more contracted than is commonly imagined: the province of reason seems to be, to compare things together. which fall within its notice, the effect of which comparifon the mind naturally feels: when a man ignorant of numbers, and of their properties, is taught the meaning of the terms of this proposition, Two and two are coull to four, he then understands it, but not before, when he is informed, or confiders by himfelf, what is meant by two and two, and four, and what, by the equality of these two first numbers with the last, his mind affents to, or feels this as a truth, that two and two are equal to four. Thus, what he ever after confiders as a maxim. or first principle, undoubted, and self-evident, was not so to him at first, until he understood the terms of it.

Again, let us suppose a man created at once in the vigour of strength, with his senses entire, placed in a corner uninhabited, ignorant of the nature of food, and, at the same time, having the necessaries of life within his reach; that he finds a certain fruit, which suits his taste, and contributes to his nourishment and strength, he would afterwards, when hungry, naturally have recourse to the same tree, or to fruit similar to, or of the same kind with that which he ate before, concluding that, as formerly, it would relieve him; but concerning other kinds of food, not similar to this, he would conclude nothing, until he had made the experiment.

Let us further suppose, that a man finds a watch by the way; that he never saw a watch made, nor heard anything concerning it, nor concerning the doctrine of causes and effects; he must not only be ignorant of the use of the

watch, but having no means by which he might discover the relation between the watch and the artist who made it, he could form no conjecture concerning the cause of maker of the watch.

#### SECT. II.

THE design of this work is to shew, that mankind have obtained such knowledge of God, as, in all ages, they have had, not by the light of nature in the first instance, but by external revelation, or by tradition derived from that revelation. In order to this, I shall endeavour to show, that there were such avocations in the way of mankind, left to themselves, and by supposition uninstructed, that it would be a long time before they had opportunity of inquiring concerning God; and even after they had time and leisure, that this truth, there is a God, or one God, they could not by the light of nature have discovered: then I shall endeavour to shew by what means men came to have any knowledge of God.

First, then, by reason of many avocations, it would be long before men had any opportunity of inquiring concerning God; and here we must abstract entirely from external revelation, and leave it quite out of sight. The sirst of the human kind, who must have been two in number at least, as many more as you please, must be supposed not to have been made in a state of infancy, but of the stature, or of the strength of men, so as to have been able to provide for their subsistence, and to have had no knowledge of any kind, except what they derived from reason, or the light of nature, or which, in my opinion, is the same, from experience and observation. The first feelings, we may suppose, they had, must have been those of hunger and thirst, which would prompt them to search

for the means of their subsistence; but by what means could they distinguish things useful, from those which were unfit for their purpose, or even permicious? what could determine them to prefer the fruits to the trees which produced them, or to the earth upon which they might sometimes be found, or to the grass which sprung from the ground?

They could receive no inftruction from those who went before them; for they were the first, and, by supposition, the only human creatures; nor could inftinct have any fhare; our adversaries don't plead it; they depend upon reason alone; besides, what is instinct? the effect of education and habit: men, when grown up, eat and drink fuch and fuch things, because they have been taught by the example of others, and because they have been accustomed to pursue the same tract from their earliest years. Infants, from whom our first men differ in nothing but ftrength of body, when they are born, feel cravings; but. although they had strength, they have no knowledge to distinguish what is fit for nourishment, from what is not; they would fuck any thing applied to their mouths as readily as their mothers breafts; and; therefore, without the friendly aid of others, they would infallibly perish.

Since then the first men could have had no assistance from instruction, nor from instinct, they must have depended upon experience alone; prompted by hunger and thirst, they must have applied to the first object they met with, whether earth or stones, timber or bark, or, perhaps, in imitation of the ox, to the grass of the field, from which they would reap almost as little benefit as from any of the former; if they were situated far from water and fruit, they could have no supply, till they had made trial of every thing in their way; and who can say,

but that, exhausted with hunger and thirst, and discouraged by many fruitless trials, they would have ceased the pursuit, and died in despair?

But, supposing them to have arrived at a place of waters and of fruits, and to have satisfied their appetites with these\*; that is, with water, and the softer fruits; for, from those of the shell, or harder kind, they would not for a long while after the first trial, have looked for any benefit, any more than they would from the stones, which resisted their touch; if they lived in a climate subject to winter, which we may suppose they did, winter would rob them at once of subsistence, and of life.

Their food, or the greatest part of it, through the winter, till the following autumn, if indeed they could survive the winter, must have been either the milk or sless of animals, or both, and their desence from cold, caves, the skins of beasts, and fires.

As to the milk of animals, men would see, that these creatures were of a species different from themselves, that their food, when tried, was of no use, or, in many cases, hurtful to them; and therefore, if they reasoned at all, they would conclude, that the essect of that food, or the milk of these animals, would be hurtful also; if they would not immediately, or in the time of need, have recourse to the milk, much less would they to the slesh of animals; the smell or taste of a beast sometime dead,

Ipsa quoque immunis, rastroque intacta, nec ullis,
Saucia vomeribus, per se dabat omnia tellus,
Contentique cibis, nullo cogente, creatis
Arbuteos soetus, montanaque fraga legebant
Cornaque, et in duris haerentia mora, rubetis,
Et quae deciderant, patula Jovis arbore glandes.

Ovid. Met. Lib. 1.

would naturally create difgust: besides, there was not thing within them, which could have suggested the use of animal food, or disposed them to take away the life of an innocent creature; nature would, doubtless, have revolted against every thought tending this way.

Porphyry, speaking of mens offering in sacrifice, and eating the slesh of animals, observes, that they must have been first driven to the necessity of using that kind of food

by famine and by wars \*.

Plutarch wonders how the man, who first ate animal food, could have been induced to do it.

The commencement of this practice, which he confiders as unnatural, he ascribes to the want of the usual necessaries of life, which men laboured under, occasioned by a change introduced into the constitution of the

worldt.

If it be faid, that lions, and other carnivorous animals, would fliow them the example, and point out the use of animal food, it is to be observed, that if they saw a lion killing and eating a lamb, or an ox, they would as readily see it devouring one of the human species; and if this example produced any effect, it would be abhorrence and disgust: they would be as averse from taking away the life of a sheep, as the life of a man.

In the case of an unlucky voyage, and the total want of provisions, we have heard of lots cast, in order to de-

<sup>\*</sup> Δοιμοι γαρ αιτιοι, ή πολεμοι, οί ή τε γευσασθαι αναγκην επηγαγον. De Abstinentia. Lib. II.

<sup>†</sup> De Elu Carnium.

Τι θαυμάνου ει ζωων εχρησαμεθα σαρξε παραφυσεν; δτε ελυς ποθειθε, & φλοιος εβρωθη ξύλυ, & αγρωσίν εύρειν βλαστανισμό, η φλοιο του ριζαν ευτυχες ην. Idem. ibid.

termine which of the unhappy crew should die, for the preservation of the rest: but these men knew before-hand, that sless, in general, was good for food; and, therefore, that human sless, though not eligible, would be preserable to irremediable samine and death: but the first men had no previous instruction nor experience; and, therefore, they never would have drawn such a conclusion, nor been led into such a practice: or, if we suppose, that samine drove them to such an expedient; that is, to devour one another, hunger, resentment, and despair, would have exterminated the human race.

Caves and leaves would have been but a poor defence against the winter cold; though the skins of animals would have been a good expedient, what could determine men, when they had no example, no antecedent knowledge of their use, to apply them for that purpose? how could they know that the skins of beasts could serve one end, and their slesh another? and that both were not designed for one purpose, that is, for sood? If ever they would begin to eat the slesh of animals, they would eat the skins also; at least, they would tear and throw them aside, as things useless. But it has been shown, that they would kill no animals for food, therefore they would have no skins for clothing.

Fire is another excellent remedy against cold; but how could men, entirely ignorant of it, and of its use, have attempted to discover, or to apply it when discovered, to

Ovid. Met. Lib. L.

Tum primum subiere domos, domus antra fuerunt,

Et densi frutices, et junctae cortice virgae.

the end they stood in need of? The invention of fire was not owing to any process of reasoning, how could it? for reasoning upon that subject, or means used in order to that discovery, go upon supposition, that men have fome idea or knowledge of the thing they fearch for: whereas the men we speak of, being entirely ignorant, could have had no idea of fire; therefore heathen writers afcribe the discovery of fire to what is called accident, to lightning, or the friction of the branches of trees, in a ftorm of wind, or the striking slints against one another \*: fuppoling this, then, to have been the case, that the branches of trees, rubbed against one another, would produce a flame, or that lightning from heaven fet a wood on fire, would ignorant men, who never faw fire before, nor knew its use in any degree, learn from this fample, to apply it to any of their purposes, or conclude, from the devastation which they would see it created, that it would, in any case, be beneficial to them? Upon their approach to this destructive element, the pain or death which it would instantly occasion, would, perhaps, deter them, for ever, from making any further trials of it.

Pellibus, et spoliis corpus vestire serarum.
Illud in his rebus tacitus ne forte requiras:
Fulmen détulit in terras mortalibus ignem
Primitus: inde omnis flammarum diditur ardor.
Et ramosa tamen cum ventis pulsa vacillans
Aestuat in ramos incumbens arboris arbor,
Exprimitur validis extritus viribus ignis.

LUCRET. Lib. V.

Ut varias usus meditando extunderet artes Paullatim, et sulcis frumenti quaereret herbam; Ut silicis venis abstrusum excuderet ignem.

#### SECT. III.

THE commerce between the fexes would foon be followed with a race of children; the care of providing for the mother about the time of child birth, would naturally devolve on the father; at least, the father and mother behoved to provide for their children.

Now, if it was difficult, or rather impossible for them to provide for themselves, how could they support an additional number? Here was an augmentation to their former cares, a new avocation from every pursuit, except the preservation of themselves, and of their offspring.

Another evil they had to guard against, was the attack of wild beasts, lions, wolves, &c\*. by what means could they defend themselves? by hiding themselves in caves, or by building houses or huts, or by weapons prepared for their defence? If, upon seeing one or more of their neighbours devoured by these creatures, they had retired, through fear, into the first cave, and senced it for their safety, the cause continuing, the effect would remain; if they retired through fear, fear would confine them, as in a place besieged, till they died of hunger; or, if want would prompt them to venture abroad, they would become a prey to the hungry lion.

As to the building of huts, or houses, how could they think of doing a thing of which they had seen no example, nor heard of any instance? The same observation will hold wherever their invention was required; how could

LUCRET. Lib. V.

<sup>\*</sup> Sed magis illud erat curae, quòd saecla serarum Infestam miseris faciebant saepe quietem: Ejectique domo sugiebant saxea tecta Spumigeri suis adventu, validique leonis.

they suppose, that an house, of which they had no idea, would be proper for their security? how could they know what materials would answer the purpose, or how they could be put together? or, if at any time they began to build, who would provide food for them, or secure them from danger during the operation?

How could they imagine that clubs would defend them against wild beasts? or how could they make them, supposing they saw their usefulness? or, if any of these means of defence proved useless, if any man fell a sacrifice, notwithstanding these methods of security, they would readily relinquish them for the suture, as entirely inessectual.

We may eafily suppose, that apples, pears, and other fruits of that kind, without some food more substantial, would have been infufficient for the support of man; accordingly, from the earliest times, we find that corns have been produced by the labour of man, and made use of as part of his food. Here again many difficulties occur, which might have prevented their knowing their usefulnefs, the method of propagating them, and of preparing them for food. But, supposing these difficulties removed, it cannot be eafily conceived, how the ground could have been prepared without the use of iron; and the discovery of it would be as impracticable as of any of the rest. will be faid, that, in some places of the world, corns have been produced, and many arts carried to great perfection without the use of iron, viz. in Peru, when that country was discovered by the Spaniards: taking it for granted, that the whole of that history is true, and that the inhabitants concealed nothing they were possessed of from the Spanish invaders, we have reason to suppose, that the first inhabitants of that country brought with them, when they

came thither, fome iron tools; but, if there were none among them who could discover iron mines, nor knew
the method of working them, which may be supposed,
their tools would soon wear out, and become useless:
but, knowing, before-hand, the purposes to which iron
tools were applied, and having now lost them, they would
naturally substitute in their place, what most resembled
them, or were likely to answer the same ends, sharp slints,
or pebbles. In sacred history, it is said, that Zipporah,
the wife of Moses, took a sharp stone, and cut off the
fore-skin of her son\*.

Or, if the above account of the matter will not be deemed altogether satisfactory, it will readily be allowed, that since that people, I mean the Peruvians, are represented as very quick and ingenious, since they cultivated their grounds, and built stately houses, without having discovered the use of iron, though there were mines of it in their country; if ever the use of iron could have been known without foreign instruction, many ages must have elapsed before the discovery could have been made among mankind; and therefore the methods of providing for the preservation and conveniency of man, must have been tedious, and attended with dissipulties almost insuperable.

As the numbers of mankind, if they furvived the hardfhips they met with, may be supposed, by this time, to have been increased, the impetuosity of their appetites and passions, without experience, without restraint or culture, would betray them into a conduct dangerous to others and to themselves; here was an evil, for which it would

<sup>\*</sup> Exod. iv. 25.

1-

d,

s:

d

d

S,

l,

t

r

1

require no small time to provide a proper remedy: the strong, from the love of ease, would, trusting to their strength, neglect, in the proper season, to provide for themfelves, and, in the time of need, would take, by force. from the weak, what they had laid up: these violent measures would be followed with consequences dangerous to the whole; for, though those attached to the party of the strong, might admire, and honour, and applaud them in their fuccess, the rest, who had suffered, or were afraid of fuffering, would feel refentment, envy, and fear, and those passions would prompt them to find out means for their gratification; thus the weak, in great numbers, would become formidable to the strong in a smaller party; and, supposing the number of the weak small, by stratagem and artifice, they would foon convince the strong. that mere force would not always succeed, and that their condition, in fuch a state of things, was very unsafe\*.

In these circumstances, then, when every man's will was his rule of conduct, no man, nor family, could be secure; therefore the love of life would naturally prompt them to search for some means for their preservation; the sittless for that purpose, were the formation of societies, the division of property, and laws framed for the security of that property, and of the lives of the owners. These institutions, we may suppose, were, at first, rude, imper-

<sup>\*</sup> Et tamen e summo quasi sulmen dejicit ictos
Invidia interdum contemtim in tartara tetra:
Ut satius multo jam sit parêre quietum,
Quam regere imperio res velle, et regna tenere.
Invidia quoniam seu sulmine summa vaporant
Plerumque, et quae sunt aliis magis edita cunque.
Lucrer. Lib. Vi

fect, and unfit, in many respects, for answering the ends for which they were intended: these desects behaved to be rectified, many arts discovered and improved, before men could arrive at a state of ease and affluence, the sittest one, of all others, and necessary, for study and speculation.

d

th

fo

G

in

m

fh

if

m

gu

ve

de

al

fic

an hi

be

th

th

no

fe

It will, perhaps, be faid, that I have here supposed too much, things which could not exist, a society of men regularly formed and established, laws framed, and properly enforced, without any knowledge of God, without any religion; I believe as firmly as any man, that without some knowledge of God, considering the tempers of mankind, these things could not be; but, as it has been taken for granted, without any proof, that men. without revelation, could eafily, by the use of their reafon, discover the being of God, and every thing relating to this life, which their circumstances required, I have attempted to shew, what figure reason would make, in pointing out the necessaries and accommodations of life, what was fit for food, for raiment to themselves and families, for defence against wild beasts, and men equally wild; and found, that the cravings of their appetites, and the circumstances they were in, were such as behoved to engage their whole attention, and leave them no leifure for speculation: and if there is any error hitherto, it is probably in ascribing too much to reason; for, if we attentively consider the difficulties they had to encounter, and the dangers to which their lives were expofed, it will, perhaps, appear impossible that any of the human kind could have furvived.

But, in order to fee the conclusion of this matter, I have supposed, that they surmounted all these difficulties, and arrived at a state proper for contemplation;

and now, that they are supposed to have time to look around them, and to survey the works of nature, I shall endeavour to show, that they would not inquire concerning
the being of God, nor be able to discover if there was a
God.

İs

O

e

<u>\_</u>

0

-

it

-

it

1,

g

e

n

n

f

e

#### SECT. IV.

I SHALL, by the way, impartially consider certain arguments, upon which both the friends and enemies of revelation lay great stress, as demonstrative of the being of God: and let it be noticed, that these arguments were not originally deduced from reason, but from another fource: the friends of religion, convinced that there is a God, and that all things around us are his works, by the information concerning them which they have received. may, perhaps, be commended for their endeavours to shew, that this information is agreeable to reason; but if these attempts, to prove the being of God, by arguments supposed to be deduced merely from the light of nature, should proceed from a defire to trust in these arguments, and not in the revelation which God hath given of himself, and of his will, the only solid ground on which the mind can rest, they are certainly to be condemned as pernicious. And, left the reader should be alarmed with what follows, and tempted, from a fuperficial view, to suspect the uprightness of my intentions. and the good tendency of what he reads, I must entreat him feriously to consider, if he has read a book which he believes to be the word of God, which tells him, that there is a God, and that this world, and all creatures in the universe, are his; whether his understanding would not be more convinced, and his heart more fatisfied, pleafed, and folaced, than by all the argumentations of men,

who have affected to overlook revelation, from that day, when the devil and our mother Eve reasoned together, down to the present time?

Here follow the arguments, and the observations on, or the examination of them.

Arg. 1. The agreement, the harmony, and order vifible in the creation, show unity of design, and that unity of design points out one author of the whole, or one God

Obs. Can it be demonstrated, from the light of nature, or shewn, in any measure, to the satisfaction of a rational mind, that, in immense space, there are no more worlds or fystems besides this which we see? and, if there are others, which may be the case for ought we know, can it be proved, by reason, that they have all one author? In two ships there is great harmony or agreement in the parts, and a striking resemblance to one another, at the fame time, he would be no great adept in reasoning, who would infer, that James and John, who planned and built the one, were the same persons with Peter and Thomas, who built the other: but, supposing there is but one fyftem of things, the unity of defign visible in the feveral parts cannot lead us to conclude, with any degree of certainty, that there is but one CAUSE of the whole: for why might not many intelligent causes, as far as reason can discover, agree in producing so glorious a fabric? Many architects may agree in planning an house or city. and as many workmen in building it; and yet from the agreement of the parts, and the unity of delign difcernible in them, it would not follow, that that work was the effect of one cause, any more than that ten or ten hundred men are one man.

Arg. 2. There cannot be an infinite series of causes and

it n

b

fo

effects; this world, and all things in it, are an effect, the last, viz. of the chain; therefore, by ascending through the superior links, as many in number as you please, we must, at length, arrive at the first cause, upon which all the rest depend, existing necessarily of itself, without any antecedent cause.

Obs. As you cannot say that you are self-taught, can you point any one man since the world was made, who, by the use of reason alone, discovered that this world is an effect? If this cannot be proved by reason, all your arguments, concerning your chain, sall to the ground.

y

e,

)-

e

re

n

n

e

e

0

lŧ

S,

7-

1

r

n

3

1,

e

-

e

d

d

Can your reason, which is capable of so much, determine what degree of power was requisite for the creation of the world? If it cannot, can you pronounce that the sirst cause was the Creator of the world? or another cause inferior, and removed ten thousand degrees from it, or indeed any cause at all?

But, let us suppose a chain, consisting of links indefinite in number, where can reason stop? and when can it say, I have sound it? If it cannot prove, that the cause of the world is the first cause, when can it, with certainty, affirm of any of the superier ones, that it is the first? And though reason, exhausted with its slight, should, at length, discover the first cause, at the end of a chain almost infinite, considering the immense distance, it would be much the same thing to man, as if there was no such cause; at least, the knowledge of it would have but little influence, either to direct his conduct, or comfort his heart.

Or, can it be determined by reason, that there is one chain only of causes and effects, and not twenty, having each, at the head of it, an independent cause, and all of these causes, by agreement, concerned in the formation and government of the world?

Again, let us confider the events which happen to men, and the difference of their dispositions; reason, of itself, can never shew, to the satisfaction of any mind, that all these proceed from one cause: if one man is prosperous, numbers are overwhelmed with adversity; if one is, in any measure, happy, severals are as much afflicted, as they can be, with external evils; and, as reason can suggest no remedy against these evils, they who feel them must be wretched.

If one man is endowed with an amiable and beneficent temper, how great is the number, who, by covet-ousness, lust, envy, malice, and revenge, are disposed to do mischies? And every man's experience may tell him, that, if he has in him any appearance of a good principle, he has an undoubted evidence of a bad one also. Now, if you will reason, in the present case, from effects to causes, consider what must be the unavoidable consequences; if a man, by the use of reason, concludes, that the good, which appears in the world, must have a cause, he must also conclude, that the evil which is diametrically opposite to it, must have a cause of a very different kind.

Need I tell you, that some of high repute, such as Plutarch among the Greeks, the Persian Magi, through many ages in the East, the Gnostics and Manichaeans, in the first ages of Christianity, all held, in some sense, two principles, a good and an evil.

How came they to embrace such notions? By relinquishing the evidence they had by information, which was calculated, at once, to humble and to satisfy the mind; and by attempting, vainly and unnaturally, to discover, by reason alone, what reason could never com-

prehend: and let the man be shown, who, depending entirely upon the powers and light of nature, can reafon on these subjects better than they did.

1,

H

s,

n

**as** 

ğ-

m

i-

t-

to

n,

e,

w,

to

n-

he

he

ly

u-

ny

he

VO

n-

ch he

to nArg. 3. If there are, by supposition, two Gods, the one good, and the other evil; as the perfections of both must be infinite, the infinite power of the one, being opposite to the infinite power of the other, they must, like two contrary forces, destroy one another; and thus there would be no God at all, which is contrary to fact. Now, if we suppose, that there are two good beings; as infinite power, wisdom, and goodness, are the same, not things different from infinite power, wisdom, and goodness, the perfections of these two supposed beings are the same; therefore the beings to which these attributes belong, are no more two, but one; for the sameness, if I may be allowed the word, of the attributes, shows the sameness or identity of the beings, that is, they are one; therefore there is one God, infinitely powerful, wise, and good.

or a foundation on which it might fafely rely, can these metaphysical arguments afford the satisfaction required? Will a man, in his senses, consider two intelligent beings, viz. a good and a bad, as two inanimate bodies, impelled against one another, by blind force, to their mutual destruction? would he not rather inser, that the desire of self-preservation would dispose them to yield, each of them, somewhat of his right, if we may so speak, that they might enjoy something in common? and would not the mixture of good and evil, discernible in the natural and moral world, afford some ground, as far as reason can discover, for such a conclusion? will reason convince him, without leaving any doubt, that two or more beings cannot have the same persections, that is, persecti-

ons of the same nature, and in the same degree? From these observations it is evident, that that great truth, namely, that there is only one God, was never evinced by reason unashited.

Now, though I should grant, for which indeed there is not the least necessity, that, by the assistance of reason, it might be shown, that there are gods, or more than one, it would be almost the same thing to mankind, as if there was none; for how could they know to which of them they were indebted, and to which they should be grateful? which of them they should serve? to which they should apply, with hope, in the time of need? and in whose savour they must be happy, if they are at any time to enjoy happiness?

But there are men, who, if you allow that reason can shew there are Gods, ask no more, because they suppose, that, by repeated trials, by experiments, and slight-of-hand, they can bring out one God, as the Israelites did the golden calf; how groundless that supposition is, we have already seen. Others there are, who give themselves no concern, whether there be many Gods, or none at all, providing you allow them to exclaim, without contradiction, That there is one God, all nature proclaims, and to boast of reason, in order to discredit revelation.

#### SECT. V.

THEREFORE I shall endeavour to shew, that mankind, if lest to themselves, or to the direction of reason, without any aid from revelation, would never have inquired concerning God, nor been able to discover if there was a God.

The bulk of mankind, in every age, are obliged, in

m

h.

n-

re

n,

ie,

re

m

e-

ey

in

ne

n

e,

f-

d

re

1-

e

1-

n

fome shape or other, to labour, in order to answer the demands of nature, which are frequent and importunate; or if some, by their industry or good fortune, or the injustice or frugality of their fore-fathers, have obtained a competency, they do not rest satisfied; their aim, now, is after higher degrees of wealth, in order to afford them an easy retreat in old age, or to aggrandize their samilies, or to gratify, what is insatiable, the love of money.

As to those of independent fortunes, and high life, if they have sew real wants, they are distressed with imaginary ones; ambition, rivalship, pride, often disappointed, the love of ease which they never enjoy, and of pleasure which can never be gratisted, for the most part, possess their minds: thus, pursuits of different kinds, relating to the body, and this world, engross the hearts of the greatest number of every rank; to these their views are directed; beyond these they seldom aspire: things serious, which require attention, or inquiry, they consider as soreign, uninteresting, and impertinent.

From this, among other causes, we may account for the general inattention of men to their most important concerns; in the gospel, we are blessed with a discovery of the most interesting truths; these truths, great numbers of every rank in the world have daily opportunity to read, and meditate on; these truths are publicly inculcated every seventh day, and sometimes oftener; and yet how little attention do the greatest number give in the very time of hearing? and how can it be supposed, that they will meditate on them, through the week, with the seriousness which they deserve?

They daily read, or may read, or hear of God, of his providence, of a future state, of the redemption by Christ, of the happiness of heaven, and of the miseries of hell,

but because their hearts are previously engaged, by the love of other things, and because they are daily accustomed to hear the gospel, habits, which, in other cases, confirms attention to the truths proposed, and makes the perception of them easy, in the present case, wears off their impression, or, at least, blunts the edge of attention. Men are often informed, that the heavens, and the glorious bodies which move in them, are the workmanship of God, defigned, besides other purposes, to shew to man his majesty and his power; and yet, because these objects grow familiar to them, unless, in order to learn by them, time of the day or night, they feldom look at them, much less do they contemplate, by their means, the great God, who made them .- " The minds " of men being engaged in a constant hurry, and accus-" tomed to the objects which the eyes behold, neither ad-" mire them, nor inquire into the reasons of these things " which they always fee."

by reason of necessary avocations, would, for a long while, have had no opportunity of scarching for God, supposing the discovery of him, by the light of nature, possible: therefore, having lived long without the knowledge of God, habit would rivet, or confirm them in the neglect of it; having been accustomed, for many years, to see the heavens, the sun, moon, and stars, while their attention, in the mean time, was necessarily engaged in other pursuits, these objects would naturally cease to impress, and be accounted as things entirely common \*: men

CICERO. Tufcul. Quaeft, p. 139, Edit. Glaf.

<sup>\*</sup> Assiduitate quotidiana, et consuetudine occulorum assuescunt animi, neque admirantur, neque requirunt rationes earum rerum, quas semper vident.

would receive, by their means, no information concerning God, supposing they had been fitted, originally, to administer it; for if men, in modern times, who daily hear of God, and his works, continue so ignorant, and pay so little regard to these truths, how can it be supposed, that men, who had not so singular an advantage, and much greater avocations from inquiry than we have, could have discovered any of these truths by the dint of reason, or merely by the light of nature?

o

0

s

3,

g

£

e

1-

er

s,

n

· Again, we may, perhaps, be allowed to confider the following proposition, as a maxim, because, after a little meditation, it becomes felf-evident, and carries conviction with it, Ignoti nulla cupido; that is, what a man is entirely ignorant of, he can have no inclination to know. nor any defire to enjoy: whatever a man purfues, of that, he must be supposed, in the nature of the thing, to have fome previous knowledge; if he fearches for gold, or filver, or iron, or for the truth of a mathematical proposition; if, in order to the advancement of his knowledge. or the increase of his wealth, he vifits foreign countries; he must, in all these, and in every other case, where he is employed in fearching, have fome knowledge, however faint, of the objects of his pursuit, which prompts him to inquire; without this, where would be his motive to fet out. or to make any trial? without this, like a body without a mover, he would remain for ever inactive. Now, as man, by supposition, had no previous knowledge of God, before he fet out in his inquiry concerning him, what could determine him to inquire? how could he begin to fearch for the existence of a being of which he had not even the shadow of knowledge? It will, no doubt, be objected to this kind of reasoning, that, if this account was just. no discoveries of any kind could ever have been made,

whereas the contrary is indiffertably evident. Many things, of great importance, have been discovered, as it were by accident; the magnifying power of glaffes, the virtue of the load-stone, iron, probably, and other metals: and, after the first discovery was thus made, the use of them was observed, and came to light by degrees. In other inftances, from one or more truths discovered. men have been naturally led to the discovery of others, of which, when they fet out, they had no idea, viz. in the case of arithmetic and geometry. Now, what should hinder men, when they had leifure for speculation, either immediately from the view of the creation, or after a little acquaintance with the doctrine of causes and effects, to fee, that the works of creation were an effect. and, therefore, that they had a cause, and, therefore, that there is a God, supposing they had no previous notion of his existence?

d

k

th

0

te

b

Ò

P

V

I answer, by readily acknowledging, that the most useful things in human life, and, perhaps, the first principles of almost every science, were discovered, partly. by means of revelation, or by what is commonly called accident; and this, by the bye, is no fmall proof of the ignorance and weakness of the human mind; we are ant to wonder at the blindness of men in former ages, the flowness of their progress, and the little merit they had. in the discoveries which were made; whereas, had we lived at the fame time with them, we would, no doubt. have been in the fame, or, perhaps, in a worfe conditions These things, attentively considered, will show how limited the powers of the human mind are, how little stress is to be laid upon, or fuccess expected from them; and that the influence of providence, under the name of accident, in discovering what seems to be easy, and within

every body's reach, is much greater than men are generally disposed, thankfully, to acknowledge. A man having found, that, by means of spectacles, he saw letters, or other objects distinctly, which otherwise he could not have so easily discerned, we may suppose, would have infallibly reasoned thus: If, by one glass, I thus see, clearly, objects which are near me, by means of two, properly placed, I must discover objects at a distance; I must fee the heavenly bodies more distinctly than ever man faw them, and enjoy, on that account, a pleafure which no man has felt. But was ever any man led, by reason. into this train of reasoning? Was it by reason, that the use of telescopes was discovered, the mean of so many other discoveries? By no means. James Mebius, a Dutchman, first discovered the use of these, entirely by accident, by means of two pair of spectacles; the Great Galileo, receiving the hint from him, made large glaffes, which he fixed in the pipes of organs; through these he viewed the fun, and perceived his spots \*. Now, if mankind, during many ages, did not, that is, could not, by their reason, discover many things, which appear obvious and eafy, how could they difcern what was more latent, and further removed from their fight, that is, the being of God? or, if you will acknowledge, which you ought to do, that God discovered himself to men, independent of any inquiry, or any reasoning of theirs, on the works of nature, as in the cases already mentioned, he revealed to them many interesting, natural truths, without the intervention of their reason, the dispute is ended; and

7

d

<sup>\*</sup> See Rollin on Arts and Sciences, and Flloyd's Universal Biography.

of God, not by reason, or the light of nature, but by ex-

ternal revelation in the first place.

But, if there remain any doubts with regard to this conclusion, let it be further observed, that, when the first principles of any science are discovered, or the use of any thing rare, newly invented, the highest improvements made in these, result from the principles well understood, and properly applied, the most important discoveries made in any of these branches, and the noblest purposes they are made to serve, are connected with these immediately preceding, and these again with others in the inferior links, down to the first principles; and it is to be carefully noticed, that the last, or highest discovery, is precifely of the same nature with the first, and connected with it by a natural chain; whereas God is of a nature different from every thing which men faw, or could have any knowledge of, and the connection between him and his works, by no means so visible, as that between the most difficult mathematical truth and the principle from which it refults; therefore, though a man may discover the properties of a mathematical figure, it does not follow, that he could more easily, or that he could at all, have discovered the existence of God; for the one is practicable, and has been often effected, but the other, viz. the knowledge of God, from the light of nature, is impossible. It is often confidently faid, that, when a man fees a plough, an house, a coat, and the like, he immediately infers, that these must have had a cause, or been made by fomebody; and, therefore, that every reasonable man, who fees the works of creation, must as naturally conclude, that they have had a cause, that is, that there is a God.

ge

¥-

his

rst

ny

nts

od,

de

ey

ely

or

re-

8-

ed

re

ve

nd

he

er

1-

11,

C-

Z.

nañ

e-

de

n,

1-

2

This reasoning is specious, but unjust. A man, who in innumerable inflances, has feen wrights, masons, taylors, &c. employed in their feveral arts, cannot possibly be ignorant, that these works were defigned for such ends. and that they were the effects of fuch causes, or the works of fuch artificers; but let the fame man, suppose him the greatest genius ever produced, find a watch or plough, &c. let him be one who never heard of, or faw these before, nor any other production of art, nor any artist employed in fuch works, and he must be as ignorant concerning thefe, their designs, their causes, and the relation between them and their causes, as the infant of a day old: he could not even form a conjecture with regard to them. for conjecture supposes some measure of knowledge; but here he has no principles which could afford him the fmallest degree of it.

In the same manner, since mankind had, by supposition, no external revelation from God, nor any instruction from men, being themselves the first inquirers, or rather the first who had opportunity to inquire, since they had not seen the world made, nor had any experience relating to that sact; they could not possibly see the relation between that work and its author, they could not know that this world was the effect of any cause, that is, they could not learn, from the works of nature, that there is a God.

The only thing that I can foresee can be further urged, is, that, after men became acquainted with several of the works of art, and observed their uses, they would, by degrees, learn to pronounce concerning all works of the artificial kind, that they were the effects of human causes, or the works of men, and that, in the same manner, men, in process of time, observing the variety, the harmony,

and usefulness of the works of nature, would conclude, that they were the effects of some cause, or causes. To this, it is answered, that men, having all their life-time, been acquainted with men, and feen, in various inftances, the effects of their art, might in cases where they faw not the artificers hand actually applied, distinguish art from nature, but not univerfally neither; for, unless they were acquainted with nature, and art, in every circumftance, which they could not possibly be, they would, in many inftances, be in a mistake; but, supposing they should not, it does not follow, that they would infer, from the works of nature, that there is a God; for nature and art are totally different; nature is the great, the permanent fubject of art; art is only a superficial change, or modification, superinduced upon some particular parts of nature; therefore, from the one, no conclusion can be folidly inferred with regard to the other; in the works of art, a man can fee, furvey, every part, and judge of the whole, and, from the work, may determine concerning the agent; but no man ever faw, or can fully comprehend, all the works of nature; these constitute an object too great, and too immense, for any man uninstructed, to form any tolerable notion of them, to think of their having been made, or fo much as to guess of their having had a cause. Besides, it is to be feriously considered, that, in the case of art, men fee the workman; but, in the case of nature, no man hath feen God, the author, nor can fee; in the one, men fee the work performed; but, as to the other, no man ever faw God creating this world, nor any other fimilar to it; a man may, by degrees, from the works of art. infer the cause of these works, but not in the first instance, or when he first met with a work of art; for, before he could judge properly of it, he must, in the first

place, have feen or heard fomething of the cause, which produced it; therefore, fince man, by supposition, knew nothing of God, as Creator, before they saw his works, they could not, from these works, learn, that there was a God.

de,

To

ne,

an-

ney

ish less

irld.

ney

om

art

ent

ifi-

re;

er-

can

om

no

of

m-

ble

·fo

t is

en

an

en

an

lar

rt,

nrst

# PART IL

# -16 years him best Stere T disapos services

IN the preceding fections, it has been shown, that reason, of itself, would have been too slow, and quite infufficient for pointing out to men the means, necessary for life, food, clothing, weapons for defence, and, perhaps, implements of husbandry, and, particularly, that by it, mankind could never have discovered, that there was a God, much less, the only living and true God, whom it would have been their duty and interest to have ferved, and their chief happiness to have enjoyed: that if men had been left entirely to the direction of their reason. or the light of nature, with regard to these things, they must have perished, or remained as ignorant as the other animals around them of the great Creator. And, now, fince men have been preserved, by the discovery of means necessary for their preservation, since some, in every age. have had the knowledge of the true God, and all of them. without exception, have acknowledged fome God or other; the knowledge of these things must have been derived from revelation, or from the tradition of that revelation. Accordingly we find, that this has been precifely the cafe.

And God faid, \* "Behold, I have given you every

<sup>\*</sup> Gen. i. 29.

"herb bearing feed, which is upon the face of all the carth, and every tree, in the which is the fruit of a tree jeileding feed, to you it shall be for meat." If God, in wisdom, saw it sit or necessary, to discover to man, even in his innocent state, the things necessary for his food, if we consider the state of men after the fall, having their minds clouded, and their rational powers enseebled, it will appear, that, without such a revelation, they must

have perished.

Animal food, which reason, as has been shown, would not have prescribed, revelation points out as proper; perhaps, after the flood, it was necessary for man's fublistence: "The fear of you, \* and the dread of you, shall be upon every beaft of the earth, and upon every fowl of the air, upon all that moveth upon the earth, and upon all the fishes of the sea; into your hand are they " delivered. Every moving thing that liveth, shall be " meat for you; even, as the green herb, have I given " you all things." If, as many with no small probability think, this was the first time that men were allowed to eat flesh; if, formerly, they ate herbs and fruits by divine appointment, and now, after the flood, flesh by the fame appointment, it will appear, perhaps, evident, that animal food was not only allowed, but the use of it, of which mankind, till then, had been ignorant, was, for the first time, discovered by express revelation; or if men ate flesh, as well as offered it in facrifice before the flood. as the rite of facrificing was of supernatural appointment, fo must have been the eating of animal food.

<sup>\*</sup> Gen. ix. 2.

With regard to clothing, "Unto Adam also and his " wife, the Lord God made coats of fkins, and clothed "them "." Whatever improvements men afterwards made in the article of clothes, either for hiding their shame, or defending them from the inclemency of the feafons, or for ornamenting their perfons. Here was the first lesson, and therefore the most important, given them on that head, independent of their own invention. "And the Lord God took the man, and put him into the " garden of Eden, to dress it, and to keep it +." As a mean of retreation and support to the man, he is commanded to drefs the garden; the word, rendered to DRESS, is in ver. 5. translated to TILL the ground; to till, to dress, or cultivate the garden. Now, fince the end, namely, the culture of the garden was prescribed, the means, or instruments for that purpose, must have, in fome measure, been also pointed out: for how could the man have cultivated the ground, or dreffed the trees. or plants, which fprung from it, unless he had been directed to the instruments proper for that end?

t

Society was originally formed, by the express appointment of heaven, first between the man and his wife, and, of course, between them and their children. This first society, thus formed, became a model, naturally followed by every succeeding generation. Society, we find too, was senced by the most facred ties, and men engaged, by the most powerful motives, to be dutiful to one another; as an evidence of this, consider the punishment inflicted upon Cain, for the murder of his brother: "Thou art cursed from the earth; when thou tillest the

<sup>\*</sup> Gen. iii. 21. † ii. 15.

"ground, it shall not henceforth yield unto thee her "strength; a fugitive and a vagabond shalt thou be in "the earth \*:" and the overthrow of the old world by the flood, because their wickedness and violence, it seems, against one another, had waxed great, as well as their impiety against God: and since the penalty was so great, on the one hand, the advantages of dutifulness, on the other, must have been great in proportion.

Hence it is evident, that mankind never were without fociety, separated from one another, nor lest, like wild beasts, to roam through the forests. We have seen the first institution of society, and the sence by which it was guarded; this became a plan, observed, in some degree, by succeeding ages, as far as the history of nations can inform us. In process of time, larger societies were formed, in proportion as the numbers of mankind increased, and of these, the lesser societies which we have mentioned, were the nurseries and the examples.

That there is a God, we find, in fact, that men were not left to guess, or to learn by the light of nature; for man was no sooner made, than God revealed himself to him: "And God blessed them," that is, the man and woman, "and said unto them, be fruitful, and multiply, and replenish the earth; and God said, Behold, I have given you every herb bearing seed; and the Lord God took the man, and put him into the garden of Eden, to dress it, and to keep it; and the Lord God commanded the man, saying, Of every tree of the garden den thou mayest freely eat ." After they had eaten the forbidden fruit, "they heard the voice of the Lord"

66

66

pe

Ca

h

to

ar

de

<sup>\*</sup> Gen. iv. 11. † i. 28. ‡ ver. 29. 1 ii. 15.

"God, walking in the garden in the cool of the day, and "the Lord God called unto Adam, and faid unto him, "Where art thou? And he faid, I heard thy voice in "the garden, and I was afraid \*."

As all the transactions of the period, between the creation and the flood, are not recorded, we may, perhaps, conclude concerning the particular revelations which God made of himself, that they are not all mentioned: whatever be in this, it is to be observed, that, as men at that time lived long, revelations frequently repeated, were not then so necessary, as afterwards, when man's life became more contracted.

r

1

e

1

0

I

e

n

d

ď

By means of tradition, and, probably, of immediate revelation also, Enoch had such knowledge of God, that he walked with him; that is, he believed his promises, and obeyed his will, with integrity of heart; "and he "was not, for God took him;" i. e. as the author to the Hebrews explains it, he "was translated, that he should "not see death, and was not found, because God had "translated him;. And God said to Noah, The end of all slesh is come before me; make thee an ark of gopher-wood."

Cain heard God speaking to him, and sound, by experience, what he was; that, if he was a friend to the cause of justice, he was also the avenger of wrong: this knowledge of the existence, and of the character of God, he must have communicated, in some degree or other, to his posterity; the church, or saints, who knew God, and seared him, having sometimes intercourse with the descendents of Cain, would naturally contribute to the

<sup>\*</sup> Gen. iii, 8, 9. See ch. iv. † v. 24. ‡ Heb. xi. 5. Gen. vi. 13, 14, to the end. See ch. vii.

maintenance of that knowledge among them, though these wicked men did not walk worthy of it, and, by degrees, corrupted those who ought to have been their reformers.

Noah is expressly faid to have been a preacher of righteousness\*; to whom? not to his own family only, but also to the rest of that generation, whose want of righteousness became the cause of their destruction.

From the flood to the call of Abraham, the knowledge of God was maintained by the same means as before the flood; that is, by revelation, and by tradition: "God "spake unto Noah, saying," &c. †

Whether there were revelations besides this, and others referred to, though not recorded from that period to the call of Abraham, we cannot say; if there were none, tradition from preceding revelations, we may suppose, would answer the purpose. After this, what revelations were made to Abraham, to the rest of the patriarchs, and to the Jews, their posterity, every one knows, who reads the scriptures.

### SECT. II.

AS to the rest of the world, the knowledge of God was maintained, in some degree, and propagated, partly by revelation, imparted to individuals, at various times, and in divers places, and by tradition.

Among those who were favoured with revelations, and who communicated them to the people, among whom they dwelt, may be reckoned Lot; not to mention the

ugh

de-

re-

igh-

but

igh-

edge

the

God

hers

the

tra-

ould

vere

d to

eads

was

by

and

and

om

the

. to

knowledge he had of God, and his devotion to him, before the angels appeared to him, for which he had this
testimony, that he was a righteous man\*; the message
delivered to him by the angels, concerning the overthrow
of Sodom, the destruction of that city, and the deliverance of him and of his family from that destruction, must
have heightened his veneration, and that of his family,
for the one true God; this event, and the circumstances
attending it, must have alarmed and instructed that neighbourhood, and all to whom the report of it could reach;
and Lot would not fail, as far as he could, to second that
impression.

When Abraham went to sojourn in Gerar, Abimelech, the king of that place, took to him Sarah, Abraham's wife: † "God came to Abimelech, in a dream "by night, and said to him, Behold, thou art but a dead man, for the woman which thou hast taken, for she is a man's wife; therefore Abimelech rose early in the morning, and called all his servants, and told all these things in their ears, and the men were sore as afraid." By the intercession of Abraham, they were healed, both men and women, of the disease, which had been inslicted on them. Of a piece with this was the event, which, before this time, happened to Pharaoh, king of Egypt, on Sarah's account.

Here were discoveries made to princes, in different countries, which they would readily communicate to others around them, as it is expressly said Abimelech did. These reports concerning the true God, and his care of his servants, could not fail to have some effect in

<sup>\* 2</sup> Pet. ii. † Gen. xx. ‡ Gen. xii.

maintaining, among the nations, the knowledge of him; and we have reason to believe, that one design, at least, under providence, of Abraham's peregrinations, was to promote this end.

Of Job, who is supposed to have lived some where in Arabia, about, or before the time of Moses, it is observed, "that there was none like him, a perfect and an up-"right man, one that seared God, and eschewed evil;" he, and his friends, had evidently the knowledge of God, and expressed great regard to him: by what means they attained to this knowledge, or had it preserved among them, will, in some measure, be afterwards shown. The revelation which God made of himself to them, of which we read towards the end of the book of Job, and which is directly to my purpose, must have greatly influenced their minds, and the accounts of it been propagated, by their means, through great part of that country.

Joseph knew and feared God; he was fold by his brethren, and carried into Egypt; the events which happened to him, the favour shown him, and the particular revelations made to him in that country, and by him communicated to the king, and his court, the regulations which followed, in laying up provisions against the appreaching samine, the preservation of the inhabitants, and the remarkable change made in the constitution of that kingdom, must have been of singular use, in teaching that people, that the God whom Joseph served, was the only true God, and that he ruleth in the kingdoms of men.

The revelation made, and the commission delivered to Moses in Midian, the message which he delivered from God to the king of Egypt, and the many miracles which he wrought, as evidences of his mission, which were seen, nim;

east,

as to

e in

erv-

up-

God,

they

ong The

nich nich

ced

by

ore-

en-

re-

m-

ons

ap-

its,

of

ch-

vas

ms

to

ch

n

or felt through all Egypt; the deliverance of the Ifraelites, and the overthrow of the Egyptians in the Red Sea; the miraculous prefervation of the children of Ifrael in the Wilderness, under the conduct of Moses, while they travelled through many kingdoms, and their introduction into the Land of Promise; the miraculous cure of Naaman the Syrian, by washing in Jordan, according to the direction of Elisha; that prophet's prediction of the death of the king of Syria, who had fent to him, to know from the Lord, what would be the iffue of his difease\*. These revelations, delivered at various times, and in different quarters of the world, far removed from one another. were all calculated to maintain among men, or to recal them to, the knowledge of the God of Ifrael, the true . God. Of the same tendency were the message of God, by Jonah, to the populous city of Niniveh, and the effect of that message upon that people; the recovery of Nebuchadnezzar's dream by Daniel, and the interpretation of it; the deliverance of Daniel from the fiery furnace, and from the lions den; his interpretation of the hand-writing upon the wall, which none of the aftrologers understood, and the rank he was promoted to, and the influence which he obtained by these means: "And "the king answered unto Daniel+, and said, Of a truth " it is, that your God is a God of gods, and a Lord of kings, and a revealer of fecrets, feeing thou couldft re-" veal this fecret: then the king made Daniel a great " man, and gave him many great gifts, and made him " ruler over the whole province of Babylon, and chief of the governors over all the wife men of Babylon:

<sup>\* 2</sup> Kings v. ch. + Dan. ii. 47.

" and Daniel requested of the king, and he set Shadrach,
" Meshach, and Abednego, over the affairs of the pro"vince of Babylon, but Daniel sat in the gate of the
"king. I make a decree, said the king\*, that, in every
dominion of my kingdom, men tremble and sear before the God of Daniel; for he is the living God, and
ftedsaft for ever, and his kingdom that which shall not
be destroyed, and his dominion shall be even unto the
end: he delivereth and rescueth; and he worketh signs
and wonders in heaven, and in earth, who hath delivered Daniel from the power of the lions; and this
Daniel prospered in the reign of Darius, and in the
reign of Cyrus the Persian."

### SECT. III.

ANOTHER mean by which the knowledge of God was communicated to the nations, was tradition; of this there were two kinds; the one we may call collateral, and the other direct; the knowledge, by collateral tradition, is that which was derived in different ages from the church, which was in possession of the word of God, or of the immediate revelation of his will; that again, by direct tradition, was communicated in a direct line from father to son.

1. Of collateral tradition; Abraham, the friend of God, was called from his own country, and from his father's house, to go into Canaan, thither he went, and, as a stranger, travelled up and down, and visited several places in it; while a samine prevailed in Canaan, he was

ro-

the

ery

be-

and

not

the

gns

eli-

this

the

was

ere

the

is

ch,

the

ect

fa-

of his

nd,

ral

vas

fent to fojourn in Egypt. Though Abraham had many revelations from God, we here abstract from these, and consider him only as a worshipper of God, travelling up and down through the nations. Hagar, his bond-woman, and her son Ishmael, about the age of sixteen, who became the father of a great nation, were dismissed from his family; and they must have been taught the true religion.

The children of Israel resided many years in Egypt, and waxed numerous; they were delivered by a mighty hand; they journied forty years in the Wilderness, thromany tribes; there they received a complete system of the most excellent laws: they entered Canaan, and, under the miraculous conduct of providence, they took possession of it, and there in the sight of the nations, they observed the laws which God gave them.

David made many conquests, so that his son Solomon ruled over many kingdoms, from the river Euphrates, to the border of Egypt: Solomon's same spread through all nations round about; invited by it, the queen of Sheba came from a great distance, to hear his wisdom. "It was "a true report, said she, that I heard in mine own land, of thy acts, and of thy wisdom. Blessed be the Lord God, which delighteth in thee, to set thee on the "throne of Israel; because the Lord loved Israel for ever, "therefore made he thee king, to do judgment and just slice\*."

Hiram, king of Tyre, who was ever a lover of his father David, made a league with him; their intimacy was great, and the intercourse of their subjects very frequent.

<sup>\*</sup> I Kings x. 1, &c.

The Ifraelites were connected, by commerce, with the Tyrians\*; fo were the nations along the extensive coasts of the Mediterranean and of the Red Sea; and with these nations, Solomon and his subjects, by means of the Tyrians, carried on trade, even by shipping +. The king. viz. Solomon, had at fea a navy of Tarshish, with the navy of Hiram; once in three years came the navy of Tarshish, bringing gold and silver, ivory, and apes and peacocks In chapter ninth, we read, that a fleet, navigated by the servants of Hiram and of Solomon, sailed from Ezion-gebar, a port in the Red Sea to Ophir. Now, the children of Israel, and their kings, being worshippers of the true God, could not fail, by their words, their example, and the extraordinary providence which attended them, to impart some degree of knowledge concerning God, and his will, to the Gentiles, who lived among them, and to those at a distance whom they visited, these again to others more remote, and to their own children.

The Lord, by Moses, told Pharaoh, ‡ "In very deed, "for this cause have I raised thee up, for to shew in thee "my power, and that my name may be declared thro' all the earth." See, || already quoted, what has been said of the first kings of Israel, and their subjects, will hold, in some measure, of their successors, of their intercourse with the nations, and of the influence of that connection.

In process of time, first the ten tribes of Israel, and then those of Judah, were carried captive to Assyria and Babylon, and dispersed through the provinces of that mighty empire: the savour shewn them by several of

<sup>\* 1</sup> Kings v. † x. 22. ‡ Exod. ix. 16. | 1 Kings x.

h the

coasts

thefe

Ty-

king,

the the

vy of

and

igat-

from

the

rs of

ex-

ided

ning

ong

hefe

en.

eed,

hee

hro'

will

ter-

on-

ind

ind

hat

of

X.

the kings of that country, and their wide dispersion throsthe provinces, from Judea to Aethiopia\*, must, probably, have procured them respect from the numerous inhabitants, and, perhaps, regard to the God whom they worthipped. We have already hears of the veneration of Nebuchadnezzar for the God of Israel; and concerning Cyrus, it is faid, that the Lord stirred up his spirit, and he issued a proclamation for the return of the Jews from their captivity, beginning with these words:

"Thus faith Cyrus, king of Persia, the Lord God of heaven hath given me all the kingdoms of the earth, and he hath charged me to build him an house at Je"rusalem, which is in Judah."

King Ahasuerus promoted Mordecai the Jew to the highest rank in the kingdom, next to himself, and, by a decree, issued in favour of the Jews, preserved them in all the hundred and twenty seven provinces of his empire, after they had been maliciously devoted to destruction. Now, if the people followed the example of their princes, which they commonly do, they must have shewn respect to the Jews, and some degree of reverence to the God whom they served.

There were profelytes from among the nations, who were taught the will of God, who embraced the Jewish, i. e. the true religion, and came, at stated times, to worship at Jerusalem; of this, instances shall be afterwards given; of the Jews, there were numbers taken captive in war, and sudden invasions, and sold as slaves to nations at a distance: "They have cast lots for my people, and "have given a boy for an harlot, and sold a girl for "wine, that they might drinkt." And of Zidon, he

<sup>\*</sup> See Ezra and Neh. † Esther viii. and ix. ‡ Joel iii. 3.

fays, among other things, "the children of Judah, and "the children of Jerusalem, have they fold to the Gre-"cians, that ye might remove them far from their bor-"der."

21

jo

ar

of

in

ge

op

the

the

tie

tion

66 a

"

66 1

66 7

" I

cc t

Nu

As the communication was opened by the Grecian and Roman conquests, many of the Jews removed, and settled, for the sake of commerce, in Asia Minor, in Greece, and even in Italy.

But it will, perhaps, be faid, that the Jews, as appears from their own history, were prone to embrace the religion of the heathens, their neighbours; that their own, and the heathen writings, represent them, as might be easily proved, as a contemptible people, and even hateful to their neighbours, on account of their religion; and, therefore, it is not probable, that their religion would gain many proselytes, or be of general use in the world.

That few of the heathen, in comparison, became converts to the Jewish religion, will be acknowledged; but, although they did not generally embrace the whole system of that religion, it does not follow, that they received no instruction, no improvement by any doctrine of it, or by the example of the Jews, who professed their belief of such doctrines. Let us take, for instance, the doctrine concerning the one true God; of him, they had always some notion transmitted by tradition, and the instruction communicated by the Jews, and their example, in paying adoration to him, had an evident tendency to improve and confirm that notion: at any rate, if the nations did not generally profit by these means of instruction, which were evidently afforded them, if they did not like to retain God in their knowledge, they were the more inexcuseable.

Whatever inclination the Jews might discover, now

and then, to idolatry, we find, that many firangers had joined themselves to them; the number of these, in the days of Solomon, was an hundred and fifty thousand, and three thousand and fix hundred; these, it is faid, were in the land of Ifrael\*. Now, that great numbers of them became profelytes to the Jewish religion, we may well suppose, especially as they lived in so flourishing a kingdom, and under so renowned a prince. Strangers were admitted to hear the law, and to enjoy every opportunity of instruction. + "At the feast of tabernacles. "gather the people together, men, women, and chil-" dren, and thy stranger that is within thy gates, that " they may hear, and that they may learn, and fear the "Lord your God, and observe to do all the words of "this law." Thus strangers were encouraged to learn the true religion, and by submitting to its institutions, they were allowed to partake in its ordinances, and, of course, to enjoy, as well as the Jews, all the privileges and bleffings annexed to the right performance of its duties 1.

Said Solomon, in his address to God, at the dedication of the temple, || "Moreover, concerning the stran"ger, that is not of thy people Israel, but cometh out of
"a far country for thy name's sake (for they shall hear
"of thy great name, and of thy strong hand, and of thy
"stretched out arm,) when he shall come and pray to"wards this house, hear thou in heaven, thy dwelling"place, and do according to all that the stranger calleth
"to thee for; that all people of the earth may know thy

<sup>\* 2</sup> Chron: ii. 17. † Deut. xxxi. 12. ‡ Exod. xii. 48. Numb. ix. 14 and 15. xiv. 15, 16, 26. 1 1 Kings viii. 41.

"name, to fear thee, as do thy people Ifrael; and that they may know that this house which I have builded, is called by thy name." We have seen that strangers were kindly received by the Jews, and that strangers so-journed among them: and, from the passage just now quoted, we may observe the following things.

66

66

66

"

ec

m

of

ar

to

CO

no

th

th

of

1. That Solomon, from what he had already observed, foresaw, or rather by the spirit of prophety, foretold, that strangers from a far country would come and pray

towards the house which he built.

2. He mentions the occasion, or mean of their coming, viz. the report which would be spread into distant countries, concerning the name of the Lord: " for they shall " hear of thy great name, and of thy strong hand, and of thy stretched-out arm."

3. What the consequence of the strangers coming, and praying, and being heard, would be, v.g. "that all peoof ple of the earth might know the name of God, and " fear him." He here supposes, that the people of the earth, or of distant countries, would know the name of the Lord, by the fame means by which the strangers, of whom he now speaks, knew it, v. g. by tradition or report from the strangers, who had gone, or were to go, to worship at Jerusalem, and, no doubt, from Jews also, who either travelled among them, or were by fome means or other taken captive. Of this last we have a remarkable instance in the case of Naaman the Syrian, who, by the information of a Jewish maid, who had been carried out of her own country, applied for a cure of his leprofy to Elisha the prophet of the Lord, and became a convert, or almost a convert, to the true religion. \* " The Syrians had gone

"out by companies, and had brought away out of the land of Israel, a little maid, and she waited upon Naa"man's wife, and she said unto her mistress, would God,
"my lord were with the prophet that is in Samaria; for
"he would recover him of his leprosy: and one went in
"and told his lord, saying, thus and thus said the maid
"that is of the land of Israel: Naaman went to the pro"phet, and, by his direction, was miraculously cured, by
"washing in the water of Jordan; and Naaman said, up"on his return, to the prophet, Behold, now I know,
"that there is no God in all the earth, but in Israel; ver.
"17. Thy servant will henceforth offer neither burnt
"offering nor sacrifice unto other gods, but unto the
"Lord."

y

11

d

d

e

a

P

r

f

From this notable piece of history, it will not be deemed unreasonable that we infer, that, if we consider the numbers of Jews dispersed among the nations, numbers of them, no doubt, in the same condition with this maid, and the many strangers or proselytes coming from a far to worship at Jerusalem, and returning again to their country and friends. Many instances similar to the one now given, of savourable reports concerning the Jews, their religion, and the God whom they served, and of the good effects of these reports, must have happened, although they are not recorded.

The translation of the scriptures, too, from the original into the Greek language, opened an easy way, by means of the Grecian conquests, for the communication of the scriptures, and of the important truths which they contained, to very distant nations.

In the Acts of the Apostles\*, we read of devout

we

.. 1

"

of :

66 F

" t

dev

fon

I

the

aid

and

raol

ihe

fpec

God

mor

flict

read

Abi and

gard

in a

in th

" th

prief

ham

king

fed h

In

Jews and profelytes from all nations under heaven, who were at Jerusalem, when the Holy Ghost, on the day of Pentecost, was poured forth upon the apostles; among others, "the dwellers in Phrygia and Pamphylia, in Egypt, and in the parts of Lybia, about Cyrene, and strangers of Rome, Jews and proselytes." Hence it is evident,

was fulfilled, or that his prayer was really heard.

2. That the influence of the Jewish, or true religion, was not so small, as is commonly supposed; and that although the number of proselytes was small, in comparison of the whole of mankind, the nations around, even remote ones, would receive general benefit by tradition, or the reports of strangers among them; and, therefore, that the church of God, savoured with the immediate revelation of his will, has been, during the several ages, as the salt of the earth, a mean of light to the world, which has been more clearly, or faintly, discerned, as men lived near to, or remote from it.

# SECT IV.

I NOW proceed to consider that tradition, which we have called direct, viz. that which was conveyed, in every age, in a direct line from father to son. As this ought, properly, to be dated from the dispersion, it would be of great use, could we exactly determine what knowledge of God mankind had, when they were dispersed at the building of Babel: it does not appear, from the sacred history, that they were at that time even idolaters, much less, that they did not acknowledge the one supreme God: as early, indeed, as the days of Terah, the father of Abraham,

we find that idolatry was practifed\*. "And Joshua said
"unto all the people, Thus saith the Lord God of Israel,
"Your fathers dwelt on the other side of the slood," i. e.
of Euphrates, in old time, "even Terah the sather of A"braham, and the sather of Nachor, and they served o"ther gods." At the same time, it is evident, that their
deviations from the true religion were small in comparison of those in after ages.

In the facted writings, relating to these early times, there are many instances recorded of men, who, by the aid of original tradition, acknowledged the true God, and some of them the true God only. In Egypt, Pharaoh, the king, in the case of Sarah, when he knew that the was Abraham's wife, appears to have paid great respect to God, and to his will+; it is faid, indeed, that God plagued him on Sarah's account; but, although more numerous plagues, some ages after this, were inflicted upon one of his fuccessors, he did not obey so readily, in letting the children of Ifrael go. In Canaan, Abimelech, king of Gerar, feems to have acknowledged and worshipped the true God, and to have paid great regard to his will; for he reproved Abraham and Sarah for their diffimulation, and infinuated, that Abraham was in a mistake, in supposing that the fear of God was not in that place, before he entered it: "What fawest thou, " that thou hast done this thing ??"

In the same country, Melchisedek, king of Salem, and priest of the most high God, went out, and met Abraham, when he returned from the deseat of the sour kings, he brought with him bread and wine, and he blessed him, i.e. Abraham, and said, "Blessed be Abraham

<sup>\*</sup> Josh. xxiv. 2. + Gen. xii. + xx. | xiv.

a

ma

wh

hin

tha

fag

in

led

jou

con

his

the

tera

fam

in v

they

ultii

thip

ject.

In

of th

rema

" in

" or

" ini

indee

XXXI.

s of the most high God, possessor of heaven and earths " and bleffed be the most high God, which hath de-" livered thine enemies into thy hand." It is faid, that this man was priest of the most high God. Now, the heads of families, and princes among their tribes, which were only more numerous families, were always, in antient times, their priefts: this cuftom, probably, of divine appointment, had prevailed among mankind ever fince the fall; it is evident, then, that Melchisedek's priesthood was not of Abraham's appointment; he naturally paid respect to Abraham, as the friend of God; but, as he was the prieft, he appears to have been also the devout worshipper, of the most high God, and of him only, before he became at all acquainted with Abraham. Therefore, whatever additional information concerning God, and his will, the men of Egypt, and of Canaan, received by means of Abraham, part at least of their knowledge of God, and of the manner of worshipping him, was derived to them from direct, or original tradition.

In Mesopotamia, whither Abraham had sent his servant, in order to procure a wise from among his relations, for his son Isaac, it appears that the friends of Rebekah acknowledged the God of heaven\*. After the servant had delivered his message, and told them of the favour which God had shewed him, "Laban and Bethuel answered, and said, The thing proceedeth from the Lord, we cannot speak unto thee good or bad: Laban said to Jacob, when he proposed to leave him, I pray thee, if I have sound favour in thine eyes, tarry; for I have learned, by experience, that the Lord hath bles-

<sup>#</sup> Gen. xxiv. 50.

"fed me, for thy fake ";" and when, at parting, they made a covenant, Laban faid, "No man is with us; fee, "God is witness between me and thee !."

fi s

lea

nat

he

ch

n-

di-

rer

A-

lly

25

ut e-

e-

d,

ed

ga

e-

r-

ti-

e-

he

he

el

he

an

ay

or

-1-

True it is, God had appeared to Laban in a dream, when he was in pursuit of Jacob, and forbade him to do him any harm; but he was acquainted with God before that revelation was made to him, as appears from a passage already quoted; and, from the history of mankind, in that age, it is evident, that he must have acknowledged one supreme God, even before Jacob came to so journ with him.

When he overtook Jacob on his journey to Canaan, he complained, among other things, that he had stollen his gods from him ‡. Not to trouble the reader with the opinions of the learned, with regard to these gods, or teraphim, some contending, that they were only so many samily pictures; others, that they were idols made use of in worship. Supposing the last to have been the case, they were not the objects to which religious worship was ultimately directed; they were only the means of worship, contrived by men, while God was the supreme object.

In Arabia, Job and his friends were devout worshippers of the true God; and, according to his own testimony, remained untainted with the idolatry of these times: "If "I beheld the sun when it shined, or the moon walking "in brightness, and my heart hath been secretly inticed, "or my mouth hath kissed my hand, this also were an "iniquity, to be punished by the Judge ." God did, indeed, reveal himself to Job, and to his friends, as we

<sup>\*</sup> Gen. xxx. 27. † xxxi. 50. ‡ xxxi. 30. | Job xxxi. 26.

he

the

mig

dou

wit

was

to 1

tim

thi

tim

Sin

rigi

of t

the

the

Bal

cou

or f

tha

wit

his

con

to l

inf

for

ful

Go

his

led

im

1

I

read, chap. xxxviii. to the end of the book. Eliphaz speaks of a vision which he had seen by night\*. And Elihu speaks of the instruction by dreams †. But, besides all these means of knowledge, there was another, viz. tradition prior to these supernatural discoveries. To tradition we find them often appealing: Says Bildad, ‡ "Infugure, I pray thee, of the former age, and prepare thy self for the search of their fathers, (for we are but of yesterday, and know nothing, because our days upon earth are a shadow) shall not they teach thee, and ut ter words out of their heart."

Says Eliphaz, | "I will shew thee, hear me; and that "which I have seen I will declare, which wise men have told from their fathers, and have not hid it, unto "whom alone the earth was given, and no stranger passes fed among them." From this I would observe,

1. That there is here an appeal to tradition, concerning the ways of God with men; and, therefore, concerning God himself, although God afterwards reproved them, as having been in a mistake in Job's case, that is no objection against the fact in general, viz. that there was such a thing as tradition.

2. That tradition, with regard to God and his providence, feems to have taken its rife from remote antiquity, Bildad says, inquire of the former age, and prepare thyself for the search of their fathers, i.e. the sathers of the men of the former age, which they might do by means of tradition handed down from them; Eliphaz says, that what he himself had seen, he would declare, and what wise men have told, he does not say, have told me, perhaps, he had their report from the second or third hand; then

<sup>\*</sup> Job iv. † xxxiii. ‡ viii. 8. | xv. 17.

he adds, what these wise men have told from their fathers: and, besides, the observations which these fathers might themselves have made on providence, they, no doubt, reported what they had heard from their fathers: with regard to these, he says, to whom alone the earth was given, and no stranger passed among them. Now, to what times could the facts here mentioned refer? To times, it would appear, when the earth was much more thinly peopled, than in the age in which Job lived, the time of the dispersion, v. g. or times even more remote. Since Job and his friends then enjoyed the benefit of original tradition, we may conclude, that the same kind of tradition was one mean of knowledge to men, in all the cases which I have enumerated, and that it produced the like effects in all the kingdoms around.

For a father, v. g. immediately after the dispersion, at Babel, having the knowledge of God, and of his will, could not fail to communicate it to his children. or fear, or both, would oblige him daily to worship; and that he could perform worship in the fight of his children, without telling them any thing concerning the object of his worship, cannot well be conceived; the desire of communicating knowledge, fo natural to men, and love to his children, would prompt him, to give them what information he could.

haz

E-

des

tra-

di-

In-

hy-

of

on

ut-

hat

ave

nto

af-

ng

ng

as

-05

ı a

vi-

ui-

ire

of

ns

at

ile

os,

en

Man was made for the enjoyment of God, and therefore his mind, however much corrupted, continues very fusceptible of notions of some kind or other concerning God, especially when communicated in a way suited to his capacity: though he never could attain to the knowledge of God by the light of nature, when his father, or any other man, tells him, that there is a God, that truth immediately finks into his mind, and makes fo deep an

impression, that though he should incline, it will be very difficult ever after to esface it.

Plato, in one of his dialogues\*, discoursing of those who were not fond to acknowledge, as gods, those which were commonly worshipped, viz. the sun and moon, shews how religion was communicated from one generation to another: " Now then, fays the Athenian, "being, probably it should be, not being persuaded by " the fables, which, from their infancy, were instilled " into them with their mothers milk, which they heard " from their nurses and mothers, in their incantations, " both in joke and earnest, or in their amusements and " ferious employments, reprefented both to their ears " and eyes, in prayers, facrifices, and fhews, which young " people fee and hear with pleafure; while their parents, " folicitous for their own welfare and theirs, offered fa-" crifices to, and addressed them as gods, by prayers and " fupplications. They hear of, and observe all the Greeks " and Barbarians, when the fun and moon rife and fet. " falling down to them, in the posture of adoration, whe-"ther in adverfity, or in prosperity; this practice they " followed, not upon supposition, that they were not, but "that they certainly were gods."

t

<sup>\*</sup> De Leg. Lib. X. Nur & πειθομενοι, (perhaps it should be & πειθομενοι) τοις μυθοις, &ς εκ νεων παισων ετι ενγαλαξι τρεφομενοι, τροφων τε ηκεον κ) μητερων, οίων εν επωσαις, μετα τε παισιας, κ) μετα σπεσης λεγομενες, και μετα θυσιων εν ευχαις αυτες ακεοντες τε, και ωξεις όρωντες έπομενας αυτοις, άς ήδις α όγε νεος δρα τε και ακεω πρατθομενας, θυοντων, εν σπεση τη μεγιςη των αυτων, ύπες αύτων τεκαι εκευων εσπεσακατων, ώς ότι μαλίς α εσι θεοις ευχαις προσδιαλεγομενων και ίκετειας. Ανατελλονίος τε ήλιε κ) σεληνης, κ) προς δυσμας ιοντων, προκυλισεις άμα κ) προσκυνησεις ακεωντες τε και όρωντες Ελληνών τε και βαρβαρών παίνων, εν συμφοραις παιντοιαις εχομενων, και εν ευπραγιαις, εχ ώς εκ οντων, άλλ ώς ότι μαλίςα οντων, και εσι θεαι υπολιαν ενδιδοντων, ώς εκ εσι θεω.

ery

ofe

ofe

and

one

an,

by

led

ard

ns.

ind

ars

ing

nts,

fa-

ind

eks let,

he-

rey

out

e &

Evol.

me-

TE,

78-

la-

UT-

vev,

nal

The works of nature also, being enlightened, as it were, by revelation or tradition, became useful instructors to men; they conspire in bearing witness to that great truth, which men have been previously taught, viz. that there is a God; they are hung up, or displayed as perpetual memorials of it.

As foon as men were informed, by revelation or tradition, concerning God, then, and no fooner, his works become inftructive. What I have already faid of one man, and his children, and of the inftruction which he would naturally communicate to them, will hold of every fucceeding race in all ages. Tradition, as it paffes, may be obscured under the shade of mysticism, and much corrupted by mixtures of human invention; but the original truth, may, notwithstanding, be always, in some measure, though obscurely, discerned; witness the nations most distant from the original scene of knowledge, and the most barbarous which have been discovered; however ignorant, they have been all, without exception, found to acknowledge a superior Being or Deity.

The feveral means of knowledge, which I have mentioned, v.g. express revelations made to many in different countries, to the patriarchs and their children; tradition deduced from these revelations; and tradition directly conveyed from father to son, down all along from the dispersion of mankind, and the works of creation and providence bearing witness, and giving additional weight to these traditions, were sufficient for all the knowledge we find in the heathen world, and might have afforded much more, had men been disposed to have made the proper use of them.

with the state of the state of the

# o de la P. A. R. T. III.

#### SECT. I.

ti

n

fc

tl

th

G

te

re

in

th

tir

for

for

the

ed

the

allwe

IDOLATRY, it must be acknowledged, was early introduced, fimple at first, but, by degrees, more complicated, and absurd; their first deviation from the manner of worship which God prescribed, and which tradition taught them, was their worshipping the heavenly bodies, the fun, moon, and stars; or God by the means of these. This may appear from the noted passage in Job, formerly quoted\*. Plato, in the dialogue which treats of the proper use of wordst, says, "The first inhabitants of "Greece, accounted these as gods, which many Barbaif rians now reckon gods, v. g. the fun, moon, earth, ftars, and heaven; and, observing them in perpetual motion, called them gods, a name taken from their mo-As they began, by degrees, to confider the whole fystem of nature, as inspired by a god or gods, all " under one supreme head, they were led to pay divine "honours to the elements, to mountains, trees, rivers, beafts, and men departed, and by these to the gods with which they were supposed to be inspired. The causes of idolatry may have been,

1. A defire to have fome thing fensible or visible as the object, or at least, the medium of their worship: "Up, said the Israelites to Aaron, when Moses was in the Mount, make us gods, which shall go before us; and when Aaron saw the calf, he built an altar before

<sup>\*</sup> xxxi. 26. † In Cratylo. ‡ A TO TOWTHS THE QUOESS THE TE Seen. ‡ Exod. XXXII.

"it, and made proclamation, and faid, To-morrow is a feast to the Lord," i. e. they were to worship God, it seems, by means of the calf. Though this was not the original, but a copy of something of the same kind which they had seen in Egypt; the cause of the copy appears to have been the cause of the original, the desire of have

ing a visible God, or a representative of him.

1

S

S

:

n

;

e

2. A love of speculation, a disposition to inquire into. to reason upon the appearances in nature, and to make new discoveries in religion independent of tradition, and, consequently, to obtain a name by that means. Shuckford, from feveral authors whom he quotes, observes\*. that Syphis, the first of that name, king of Egypt, was the first who introduced speculations of this kind; that he did this in emulation of Abraham, his cotemporary, who was greatly renowned for his acquaintance with God, and his knowledge of religion; and that his pretence to have seen God, i. e. in the way of natural reason, was the foundation of all the Egyptian errors. in religion. As idolatry prevailed, in some degree, through Egypt, and the rest of the world, before this time, it cannot be meant, that his speculations were the fource of all idolatry, but that he reduced idolatry into fome kind of fystem; and, therefore, that men would the more readily embrace it, because it was recommended under the appearance of being rational.

3. Another, and no doubt a chief cause, may have been the unsuitableness of their tempers to the divine character, to be obliged to worship, and converse with the all-persect and holy God, would, to men disposed as they were, be a species of torment; therefore, from the love

<sup>\*</sup> Connect. Vol. I.

of case, and independence, they brought down, in their imaginations, the Divine character to a lower standard, and worshipped him, not according to his nature, and the mode which he prescribed, but according to one of their own contrivance: "They did not like to retain "God in their knowledge; they became vain in their "imaginations, and their soolish heart was darkened; "professing themselves to be wise, they became fools; "and changed the glory of the incorruptible God into "an image made like to corruptible man," &c \*.

But though they entertained wrong notions of God. and practifed modes of worthip which he condemned. from some instances already adduced, and from the general testimony of antiquity, it appears, that they acknowledged one supreme God, to whom all the rest of their gods were confidered as fubordinate, in the rank only of deputies or ministers. This supreme God was defigned Jupiter or Jove, from והוה, Jehovah probably. the name of the true God among the Jews. Jove, Hefied calls the Father of gods and ment. According to Homer, he was fometimes addressed in company with the other Godst; he is, however, always represented by that poet, as prefiding among the gods, in their councils, directing them in their measures, and ordering the lot of mankind. The fame fentiment concerning one God supreme, is expressed by all the succeeding heathen poets, both Greek and Latin.

33

46

66

..

66 (

COI

or

per fag

As to philosophers, we shall begin with Plato, the prince of them: Deum quendam, says Cicero, philosophorum; if he speaks of gods, he makes also frequent mention of God in the singular; and, here, if Socrates, whose

<sup>\*</sup> Rom. i. + Theog. L. 47. # Iliad. L. 701.

apology Plato hath preserved, or made, died as a martyr for the unity of God, it would be one proof of greater knowledge of God, and of higher regard to him, in the heathen world, than I am contending for: but, as I am not convinced of the truth of that opinion, I will not take the advantage of it.

d

of

n

r

Ò

f

k

S

,

h

y

e

e

n

ć

Socrates himself gives the judges a distinct account of the cause of the prosecution carried on against him, v. g. "That he had gone about with feveral disciples. " young men, detected the ignorance of many pretend-" ers to knowledge, and exposed them to the derision of " his followers; refentment therefore prompted them to " accuse him; and where appearances occurred to his dif-" advantage, they would readily improve them against "him. One great article of the accusation, was, that " he corrupted the youth, by teaching them not to acknowledge, as gods, those which the state acknowledged, " but some new daemons, alluding, perhaps, to the daemon, which, he faid, always attended him; he had in-" troduced, and delighted in a doubtful mode of difpu-" tation; and, as he seemed to doubt of every thing, " Melitus, one of his accusers, concludes and affirms of " him, that he believed there were no gods. Socrates. " in his reply, fays, Do you mean, that I don't acknow-" ledge, as others do, the fun and moon to be gods? By " Jove, gentlemen, addressing the judges, I do not ac-" count these as gods, fince they, i. e. probably the mul-"titude, fay, that the fun is a stone, and the moon " earth." But from this, or any circumstance like it, to conclude, that he worshipped not the gods of the nations, or acknowledged their gods in no respect as gods, would, perhaps, be rash, and inconsistent with truth. In the pasfage above quoted, he seems to mean one of these two

things: either, first, that since they determined so possitively concerning the heavenly bodies, and their natures, affirming, that the sun and moon were earth and stone only, and not animated by the soul of the world, or by some deity; in that light he would not acknowledge them as gods; this, it is probable, was his meaning.

.

66

66

66

"

66

66

..

66

66

Or, in the next place, that the worship of the multitude, in his opinion, terminated in these gods, without
any regard to the supreme Being; and, therefore, since
they degraded them so much on the one hand, and yet
worshipped them as supreme on the other, he could not,
in these views, consider them as gods. Socrates, living
and dying, appears to have been a polytheist; and all I
can infer from his history, as far as I can understand it,
is, that like the rest of his neighbours, he worshipped a
plurality of gods, with one as sovereign over the rest,
who, in all cases, obtained the smalless share; shall I add,
that he introduced a sceptical mode of reasoning, the esfects of which have reached down to us, and proved the
ruin of many; "they became vain in their imagina"tions."

To enumerate all the passages in Plato, which mention one God as supreme, would be endless. Let a few to that purpose suffice.

"Mind is more antient than body; to it the making and formation of all things belong \*." That by mind here, he understands God, is evident from many other passages.

"Confidering the bulk of the fun and stars, says he, we must conclude, that the only cause of their motion is God; the heavenly bodies must either be gods, or

<sup>\*</sup> Toto Siest oxedou, & more manten ned dringeyen moonnes.

"images of the gods; they ought to be called visible gods. Next to these are the daemons, the messengers between the superior gods and the earth; they are sub- ject to pleasure and pain; but God, whose lot is entire- ly divine, is free from these passions."

fi-

es.

ne

by

m

ti-

ut

ce

ret

ot,

ng

I

it,

A,

d,

f-

he

a-

n-

W

ng

nd

er

e,

n

or

4.

"There is fomething which always exists, but was not made or generated; and something which was made, but does not exist, i. e. probably of itself; God taking every thing visible, restless, and disorderly, reduced them into order; for it cannot be, that the best of Beings could make any thing but what was most beautiful; there can be no mind or reason without a soul; for this reason, by placing a mind in the soul, and the foul in a body, he formed the universe, a most beautiful work. It seems reasonable to conclude, if we go upon probabilities, that this world is an animal, and, by the providence of God, formed rational; that he placed the soul in the middle, and diffused it through the whole."

In another place, the Father, i.e. "the God of the universe, is represented as summoning the gods, and telling them, that since they are made, they are subject to dissolution, but that his will, will prevent that dissolution: he recommends to them the formation of some things, without which the world would not be complete, and promises to give them principles or seeds for that purpose: he distributed souls according to the number of the stars: he recommended to the inferior gods, or daemons, the formation of man, i.e. under his direction †."

<sup>\*</sup> Epinomis variis locis. † Timaeus.

"There are two causes of all things, v. g. mind and necessity; the first is God, the author of the best things, and the causes which concur with him, are to be ranked under necessity\*. All things are in, or about the universal Sovereign, and for his sake all things exist."

Plato, in a letter to Hermeas, exhorts him, and his friends, "to invoke, as a witness, God the ruler of all "things, which are, or shall be \( \). The world is the distribution or order of all things, preserved by God, and for God \( \). God is the preserver and Father of all things through the world. Though the divine cause is invisible, that by no means hinders him from acting; nor is it a reason why we should not believe that he is; for the soul, by which we live, and inhabit cirties and houses, is invisible, but discerned by its operations. In like manner we ought to conceive of God, who in power is most mighty, in beauty excellent, in "life immortal, in virtue the best \( \)."

To

pl

TI

qu

WO

..

..

If

pai

up

the

Go Fat

Bear

CLT

fag

whi nity as I

of a

See

and

pho

Plutarch, it feems, was of opinion, that there were two principles, a good and a bad, with something as a medium of harmony between them; he quotes the Per-sian Magi, the Chaldeans, the Greek poets and philosophers, as having been of the same opinion concerning the two principles with himself; yet, with them, he supposes the good principle to have been superior; and surther observes, "Since the most approved philosophers "would not neglect or dishonour any obscure image or intimation concerning God, which they perceived in

In Timaeo Locro. † Platonis, Epist. 2da, ad Dionefrum. ‡ Τον των πανίων θων ήγεμονα, των τε οντων, καὶ τῶν
μελλονίων. || Διακοσμησις των ὅλων, φυλατίουωνη ὑπο θως, καὶ
διὰ θων. Aristot. de Mundo. § Idem. ibid.

"inanimate and insensible things; much more, in my opinion, says he, should the properties of things sensible, which have a soul, passions, and manners, be re"spected \*."

nd

38,

ed

ıi-

nis

all

if-

nd

all

ufe

a-

nat

ci-

oe-

od,

in

ere

s a

er-

fo-

ng

ip-

ur-

ers

or

in

ne-

Tay

xai

\* De Ilid. et Ofirid. Pag. 382. Vol. 2. Lutet. Parif. Ειπερ εν, οι δοκιμωτάζοι των φιλοσορών, εδε εν αλυχοις και α σωματοις πραγμασιν αινίγμα το θες καριδοντες ηξιου αμελει eder, ede atimaleur, eti mannor orquai tas er audaromera καλ Ιυχην εχεσαις, και παθος και ήθος συσεσεν, εδιστητας κ Then follows a remarkable passage, which I shall quote, with Xylander's Latin translation, and endeavour to explain the meaning of it: the words are, Ayammee w, & Tours τιμενίας, αλλά διά τετών το θειών, ώς εναρχεσερών εσοπτρών, κ quotes yeyovotwo, is opyavov, in textun all the mail a notuer of the νομίζεν καλώς. Lat. Trans. At enim probandi sunt, non qui ifthaec, fed qui per haec numen venerantur, itaque recte habentur, pro fpeculis clarioribus, et natura suppeditatis, tanquam instrumenta, et artificia, Dei, universa grnantis: the words may be literally rendered thus: " We ought therefore " to be fatisfied or content, that they who honour not thefe " things themselves, but the divinity by them, as so many mir-" rors, clear, and formed by nature, consider it, or them, as " the organ and art of God, who always adorns all things." If opyanon and rexum refer to beson, which feems more natural. than that they should be construed with econtrol, we find paffage fimilar to this, which may help, perhaps, to throw light upon it, in a letter of Plato's to Hermeas, formerly quoted: there he exhorts him, and his friends, to invoke, as a witness. God, the ruler of all things, which are and shall be, and the Father, the Lord of that ruler and cause; new Tow Tay Tayrey θεον ης εμονα των τε οντών, και των μελλοντων, τε, τε ης εμονος και cutis marepa kupiov, emountilas. The meaning, then, of the pasfage in Plutarch may be, that the things in nature deified, were not properly objects of worship: but, as it were, speculums, thro' which they discerned and worshipped the divinity, which divinity is subservient to, and dependent upon the supreme God, as his organ and instrument, in the formation and government of all things: but this I leave to the judgment of the learned. See also his treatise entituled, Why God delays to punish sin: and that concerning the word EI inscribed on the temple of Delphos.

It appears also from the writings of Cicero, that he was of the same opinion with those already mentioned, however many gods he admits of; he testifies his belief of one supreme; as a proof of this, I shall quote a few passages, only from his books of laws; he lays it down as the foundation of his laws, that all nature is governed by the power of the immortal gods, and that the animal, which we call man, with so many endowments, was made in an excellent state by the supreme God\*.

26

to

th

CO

da

ro bri

the

du

bee

me

ing

her be

thai

be a

is n

heat pend

that

will

magis

Deos.

It

"Since there is nothing better than reason; and man and God partake of it, the first bond of society between God and man, is reason †." In the same place, he speaks of men, or gods, and men being subject to this heavenly law, and divine mind, and almighty God †. The true and first law, fit for commanding what should be done, and forbidding what should be left undone, is the right reason of the supreme Jove ||."

# SECT. II.

AS to those who denied the being of the gods, or of God, and of providence, what the Athenian says, in his supposed address to such men, may, in general, hold true, v.g. that sew or none, who, in their youth, have taken up that opinion with regard to the gods, v.g. that there

<sup>\*</sup> Animal hoc providum, fagax, multiplex, acutum, memor, plenum rationis et concilii, quem vocamus hominem praeclara quadam conditione generatum esse, a summo Deo. † Quoniam nihil ratione melius, eaque in homine, et in Deo, prima homini cum Deo rationis societas. † Multo magis parent huic coelesti descriptioni mentique divinae, et praepotenti Deo. De Legibus, Lib. I. | Lex vera, atque princeps, apta ad jubendum, et ad vetandum, ratio est recta summi Jovis. Ibid. Lib. II. § Plato de Leg. Lib. X.

as

v-

of

I-

as

ed

al,

de

an

be-

ce,

his

It.

uld

, is

r of

his

rue,

ken

here

mor, clara

Quorima arent

Deo.

ta ad

Ibid.

are none have retained it until old age; Cotta, in anfwer to Velleius\*, with regard to Epicurus, who, by denying the providence, in effect, denied the being of God,
fays, "That he never faw any one dread more than he,
"viz. Epicurus, what he denied to be objects of terror,
death, and the gods;" For that a man should be able
to eradicate from his mind all the principles of education,
that he should be able to encounter and overcome all the
concurring evidences of truth, which he behoved every
day to meet with, tradition, the example of the world around, and the works of creation and providence, and to
bring himself to a quiet, full, and permanent belief, that
there is no God, or no providence, seems to be a very arduous, if not an impossible task.

But supposing, that there have been some, who have been so far sunk in ignorance, and hardened against every mean of conviction, as to persevere in unbelief concerning God and providence, particular instances of that kind, here and there, could not stop the course of tradition, or be any proof, that there was no such thing, any more than instances of the same kind, under the gospel, can be any evidence that Christianity has no effect, or that it is not founded on truth.

It has been shewed, from their own writings, that the heathen, in general, admitted of one supreme and independent God; at the same time, it must be acknowledged, that they had very impersect notions of him, and of his will; the idolatry into which their folly betrayed them,

<sup>\*</sup> Cicero De Nat. Deor. Lib. I. Nec quemquam vidi, qui magis ea, quae timenda esse negaret, timeret, mortem dico, et Deos.

te

P

ir

vi

ft

th

kr

m

joi

wh

66

46 j

hea

phi

er o

to t

free

tho

part

ced,

ic er

ly to

creat

great

ther,

were

2

and from which they could never, by their own wisdom, have emerged, is an undoubted proof of this.

The Apostle, writing to the Romans\*, says, that they, namely, "the heathen, are without excuse, because, "when they knew God, they glorified him not as God; that they changed the truth of God into a lie, and "worshipped and served the creature more than the "Creator; that they did not like to retain God in their knowledge; therefore he gave them over to a reprobate "mind."

Now, we have here to consider two things:

of the heathen world, viz. "when they knew God, and did not glorify him, or did not like to retain him in their knowledge."

2. How they are faid to have "worshipped the crea-

As to the first of these, it has been formerly shewn, that idolatry was not introduced all at once; its progress was gradual, and it was not, probably, till after many years were elapsed, that they sunk into idolatry of the grossest kind, or "changed the glory of the incorruptible God, "into an image made like to corruptible man." This was done first in Egypt, the great nursery of idolatry, and from thence the insection was communicated to the other nations: now, they evidently knew God, and did not like to retain him in their knowledge after the dispersion, or about the time of it; for, soon after, idolatry seems to have commenced.

Besides, during the time that idolatry was advancing, till it arrived at its height, and ever after, in every age, mi,

ey,

afe.

od:

and

the

neir

pate

ned

and

in in

rea-

wn,

ress

ears

ffest

God.

**This** 

and

e o-

did

dif-

latry

ing,

age,

they appear to have had some knowledge of God, at first more clear, afterwards more imperfect and obscure. This knowledge they derived from tradition, and from the works of creation and providence, which gave constant testimony to tradition: they were to blame, in not improving that knowledge, by the means afforded them, and in neglecting to apply it to the purposes intended by it, viz. the honour of God, and the good of men: for, inflead of attempting to instruct and reform the vulgar, their wife men feem to have studied to monopolize the knowledge they had acquired, to hide it under the vail of mystery, and either sincerely, or by connivance, to have joined with the multitude in their idolatry. Therefore what the apostle says, "when they knew God, they glo-" rified him not as God, and did not like to retain God " in their knowledge," feems to be applicable to all the heathen in every age, without exception; more fo to their philosophers, or wife men, who travelled, and had greater opportunities of knowledge than the vulgar; still more to those who lived near to the source of knowledge, or had frequent opportunities of learning the will of God from those who were favoured with the revelation of it; but, particularly, to those who lived when idolatry commenced, and had contributed to the introduction of it.

2. It is faid, "that they worshipped and served the "ereature more than the Creator." This refers directly to their idolatry or creature worship; though the learned among them differed in their opinions concerning the creation of the world, and the share which God had in it, great numbers believed, that God made the world, or rather, perhaps, that he reduced it into the order in which we now see it. Now, supposing it true, that the creatures were not the ultimate objects of their worship, but in-

6

30

th

po

th

ha

ne

th

th

as

he

gi

ly

Wa

in

CO

66

Je

na

fcr

be

an

as

the

cal

ma the

tan

tended as means only, in their plan, of devotion to God. The number of their inferior deities was fo great, that before their devotion, such as it was, could pass through so many mediums, and reach to God, it must have evaporated, if we may so speak; or, in other words, their dependence upon their imaginary gods, the supposed representatives and ministers of the supreme, was so great, that the little devotion they had was bestowed upon these; and, therefore, though in words they acknowledged a supreme God, and professed to worship him, in effect, he was neglected; they worshipped and served "the creature more than the Creator."

The Apostle, when at Athens\*, saw an altar with this inscription, To THE UNKNOWN GOD. That there was an inscription to the unknown God, in the singular number, is evident, even from heathen testimony†. The whole inscription was, "To the gods of Asia, of Eu-"rope, and Africa, to the unknown and strange god‡."

1. Then, if the Athenians dedicated this altar to the tutelary deity of some country, of which country and deity, they had never heard, nor had any knowledge at all, the Apostle, in this view of the inscription, could not, with any propriety, have addressed them in these words, "Whom ye ignorantly worship, him declare I "unto you;" for the God whom he preached was not the god of any particular unknown country, but the God, as he himself declares, who made the world, and all things therein: besides, they were not entirely ignorant of the God whom he preached; therefore this could not

<sup>\*</sup> Acts xvii. 23. † Lucian. Dial. in Philopatr. ‡ Whitby from Occum.

be the meaning of the infcription, nor of the Apostle's address.

God.

that

rough

eva-

ir de-

epre-

, that

hefe;

ged a

t, he

crea-

n this

e was

num-

The

Eu-

1‡."

the

and

ge at

thefe

are I

s not

God,

d all

orant

mot

Whit-

2. If, from the very circumstance of the inscription to the unknown God, it should be supposed, that the Apostle took an opportunity to discourse of the true God, that made the world, his meaning would be, as if he had faid, ye have dedicated an altar, and pay devotion to a God, of whom ye know nothing, and of whom ye have never heard; but ye have done this upon supposition, that there may possibly be such a God; now I declare to you the God that made the world, of whom ye know as little as of the other. But how does it appear, that they had heard, or known as little of the true God, as of any imaginary deity, of the existence of which they were entirely ignorant? In the next place, if the unknown God was only a fiction of their own imaginations, and if the God whom he declared to them, was not, in any degree, intended, by the inscription, to the unknown God, how could the Apostle say, "whom ye ignorantly worship, " him declare I unto you?" Therefore,

3dly, This unknown God was, perhaps, the God of the Jews, of whom they must have heard; they may have named him the unknown God, partly, because he was described as unsearchable in his nature and persections, and because his name, as the Jews reported, was inestable; and, partly, because he was not so social in his worship as other gods; he would not allow his people to worship the gods of the nations, and punished them when in this case they disobeyed: nor would he admit the heathen, without a change of their religion, into the same intimacy with him, if I may so speak, as were the Jews; therefore, fince the heathen, as such, were kept at a distance, as it were, from the God of the Jews, they might

dedicate an altar to him, under the name of the unknown God; unknown in comparison of the other gods, to whom they gave particular names, to whom, in their opinion, they had daily access, and with whom they were well acquainted. Now, this altar, with this inscription, they may have erected, intending, if the power of this God reached beyond Judea, or if he might have any hand in the calamities, which, now and then, were inflicted on them, by this means, to appeale, and render him friendly.

Upon this supposition, the Apostle, with great propriety, addressed the Athenians, "whom ye ignorantly worship, him declare I unto you;" whom ye worship ignorantly, because ye know him not, or but very imperfectly; ignorantly, because ye know not his will, or the manner of worship, which will be acceptable to him; ignorantly, because ye consider his as a local deity, as the God of Judea only, and worship him accordingly. This God is he who made the world, and all things therein, "him declare I unto you."

1

th

fu

T

al

is

m

ab

to

When the ten tribes were carried captive into Affyria, there was a number of heathen fent from that country, to supply their place in the land of Israel. Upon an information made to the king, that evil befel them, because they understood not the manner of the God of the land, he sent back a Jewish priest, to teach them the manner of the God of the land, i. e. how to worship the true God, whom almost all the heathen around considered as a topical deity, or the God of Judea only\*, alluding, perhaps, to some species of remaining idolatry among the Samaritans, the descendents of the people of whom we

.0

<sup>\* 2</sup> Kings xvii. chap.

un-

ods.

heir

vere.

ion, this

and

cted

him

pro-

ntly

fhip

im-

l, or

nim;

, as

igly.

ere-

Affy-

oun-

n an

, be-

f the

nan-

true

ed as

ling,

g the

have been now speaking, or at least to their manner of worshipping God. Our Lord said to the woman of Samaria, "Ye worship ye know not what "." Nor does it at all follow, because the heathen considered the God of the Jews, as a particular God, generally limited in his government to one country; that, therefore, they did not acknowledge one supreme God; for, according to their system, these two were very consistent.

"For in him we live, and move, and have our being †, as certain also of your own poets," viz. Aratus,
have said; for we are also his offspring." The poet
here speaks of Jove, the principal god among the heathen. Now this Jove, as if he had said, whom ye consider as paramount to all other gods, is, though ye have,
in a great measure, lost the knowledge of him, the same
with Jehovah, the true God, the God of the Jews, the
Creator of all, we are all his offspring.

I shall conclude this section, with a passage from the Epistle to the Romans; "As many as have sinned with"out law," i.e. the heathen who have sinned without the written law of Moses, "shall also perish without "law." Now punishment, included in the word Perish, supposes a transgression, and transgression supposes a law; "for where there is no law, there is no transgression." There is one law, then, binding upon all men, and at all times. This one law supposes one God, whose will it is; and this God must be known, in some measure, to all men, in order to render the transgression of his will culpable in all; and, therefore, a cause of punishment. Therefore, since this passage refers to the heathen of every class,

<sup>\*</sup> John iv. 22. † Acts xvii. 28. ‡ ii. 12,

without exception, they must have had some knowledge of God, whose law was binding on them.

## SECT III.

IT has been shewn, from the sacred as well as heathen writings, that the heathen acknowledged a supreme God, and that all of them had some notion of the true God: at the same time, it must be allowed, that their knowledge, in this respect, was very defective, and not at all proportioned to the means of information which they had, or might have had in their power; the highest pretenders to reason and knowledge among them, were, it seems, polytheifts; the opinions which they entertained concerning a variety of gods or daemons, inferior to the supreme God, and employed by him in the affairs of men, leave us no room to doubt of this. If their wife men betrayed fo much ignorance with regard to God, and his will, what must have been the condition of the vulgar, who enjoyed not so great advantages? By philosophers they are generally treated with contempt, represented as ignorant, and prone to vice; the learned, instead of inftructing them, feem, through their great vanity, to have industriously concealed from them the truths which they knew; Plato, writing to Dionesius, says, "Concerning "the nature of the first cause, I must address you in " aenigmas, that, if any thing befal this letter, by fea or " land, he who chances to read, may not understand it."

\*\*

\*6 ,

66 7

cc f

" P

ec h

ILEVOI

Some of them reproach one another with weaknesses, and sometimes with great crimes; and, from the writings of the best of them, it appears, that they were not very averse to crimes which nature shuns to mention; and, therefore, the character which the Apostle gives of

them\*, holds with regard to all of them without excep-

ge

en

od,

od;

w-

all

ad,

lers

po-

ing

eme

eavè

be-

his

gar,

hers

in-

have

they

ning

u in

ea or

it."

effes,

tings

very

and,

es of

Says the same Apostlet, "the things which the Gen-"tiles sacrifice, they sacrifice to devils," in the original, to daemons, "not to God."

"There are certain natures, or beingst, between gods " and men, liable to the diseases of mortals, which, as " they are accounted daemons, by the law of our fathers, " ought to be worshipped . The sacrifices offered; the " festivals and unlucky days observed, on which men ate " raw flesh \*\*, fometimes fasted, afflicted themselves. fpake obscenely, and acted like madmen, with their " necks difforted, were not intended in honour of any " god, but in order to avert the anger of wicked dae-" mons. And the human facrifices which were former-" ly offered, the gods, it is probable, did not require. " nor admit of; nor did kings and generals deliver up " their children to be facrificed, unless to appeale the " wrath of evil and malicious daemons, and to gratify "the mad and tyrannical lust of some of them, who could not enjoy their bodies, i. e. the bodies of their " children ++."

"Besides, the supreme God, and the soul of the world, and the other gods, the world, the moving and fixed stars, visible gods, there is a multitude of invisible beings, which Plato, without distinction, called daemons; to some of these, men have given particular names, and paid divine honours and worship; some of them, again, have been distinguished by no particular names, but,

<sup>\*</sup> Rom. i. 28. † 1 Cor. x. 20. ‡ Φυσεις. † Δεχομενοι παθη Эνητα. § Plutarch De Orac. Def. \*\* Do not
ωμορεγιαι καὶ διασπασμοι, fignify the tearing of mens bodies,
and the eating of their flesh raw? †† Idem. ibidem.

t

66

66

26

th

66

th

86

35

66

66

ît

da

fav

eve

CO

or

ma

bot

cor

‡ x

been deligned by obscure names, and worshipped in secret; the remaining multitude are commonly called
by the name of daemons: concerning all of them, the
opinion is, that, if provoked by neglect, they will do
hurt, and that, if appeased by prayers, supplications,
and suitable facrifices, they will do good \*."

Our author goes on to explain Plato's doctrine with regard to daemons: "The fouls descended from the soul of the universe, bear rule through the large space until der the moon, and are to be considered as good daemons; but the souls which govern not the spirit which adheres to them; but are overcome by it, by means of its anger and desires, are led and violently driven by it, these souls are daemons, but may be justly called wicked daemons;"

The many appearances of good angels, and of Satan, the prince of evil angels, for instance, in the case of our first parents, and of Job, mentioned in scripture, and of which the world, in the first ages, could not be ignorant, give rise, no doubt, to the knowledge which men had, with regard to other spirits besides God, superior to themselves. Here was a wide sield for the imaginations of men, when they had rejected the truth, to roam in; hence the many sabulous accounts among the heathen, of superior beings, of their orders, their tempers, and offices; and hence the various modes of worship instituted, and the kinds of sacrifices offered to them, according as they were supposed to be good or evil.

The worship of daemons had been early introduced into

Porphyrii De Abstin. Lib. II. Sect. 37. † Τε συνεχες πνευμαίος. ‡ Ibid. Sect. 38.

le-

lled

the

l do

ons,

vith

foul

un-

lae-

pirit

by

ntly

be.

tan.

our

d of

no-

nen

rior

ina-

oam

nea-

ers,

in-

ac-

into

• שפעד

the heathen world; against this, God cautioned his people, without any distinction made of daemons, whether good or bad: "They shall no more offer their facrifices unto devils; after whom they have gone a whoring "." They, i. e the children of Israel, in imitation of the heathen, "facrificed unto devils, not to God; to gods whom they knew not, to new gods, that came newly up, whom your fathers feared not. Thou shall not do fo unto the Lord thy God; for every abomination to the Lord, which he hateth, have they," i. e. the heathen, "done unto their gods; for even their sons and their daughters they have burnt in the fire to their gods;."

In the gospels, we read of many possessed with devils, i. e. with daemons, and of the casting out of many of them. The Spirit speaketh expressly, "that, in the lat"ter days, some shall depart from the faith, giving heed
to seducing spirits, and doctrines of devils : the things
which the Gentiles sacrificed, they sacrificed to devils,
and not to God ?."

From the testimonies of the heathen already quoted, it appears, that they offered sacrifices to evil spirits, or daemons, in order to avert their anger, or procure their favour, if they had any; this practice, the word of God every where; and the passages above quoted, evidently condemn.

But as they acknowledged and worshipped good angels, or daemons, as well as bad, is there no distinction to be made? does the Apostle disapprove of the worship of both? The holy scriptures make no distinction; they condemn both, as impious, without any exception.

<sup>\*</sup> Lev. xvii. 7. † Εθυσαν δαιμονιοις. Deut. xxxii 7. ‡ xii. 31. ‡ 1 Tim. iv. 1. Διδασκαλιούς δαιμονίων. § 1 Cor. x. 20.

il

fa

re

in

th

th

pe

no

bu

pic

of

to

G

fyf

the

of

his

me

dor

fo 1

hav

dud

ly i

to c

Both the Prophet and Apostle declare, "that they of-" fered facrifices to devils, and not to God." As they had evidently some knowledge of God, and of his will, did they entirely neglect him? did they pay him no respect, nor offer him any facrifice? No, they intended to honour him; and, according to their fystem, behoved to worship him by means of these daemons, especially the good ones, to whom they offered facrifices: but, as they did not like to retain God in their knowledge, as they all entertained wrong notions of him, the fervice which they addreffed to him, was not paid to the true God, but to a fiction of their own imaginations: as they had relinquished, and, in a great measure, lost the knowledge of his will, the only rule by which he could be worshipped, they could not, in all refpects, intend well: and, supposing them to have intended ever fo fincerely, to have worshipped him according to their own modes, the service intended him, contrary to his will, was no service to him, it was a dishonour to him, and a fin in the worshippers; for, if good intentions could have answered the purpose, could they have rendered that right and acceptable, which was wrong and hateful, they might then have fanctified, or now might fanctify, the most horrid crimes, the most opposite to the nature of God, and the most destructive to mankind. "If our " gospel be hid," fays the Apostle, " it is hid to them "that are loft, in whom the God of this world hath " blinded the minds of them that believe not "."

"Wherein, i. e. in fin, in time past ye walked according to the course of this world, according to the PRINCE of the power of the air, the spirit that now worketh in the children of disobedience." If, then, it was by the

<sup>\* 2</sup> Cor. iv. 3. † Eph. ii. 2.

influence of Satan, or of wicked daemons, that the heathen, though by no means without their own fault, lost so far the knowledge of God, and of his will; if, by his direction, they adopted such objects of worship, and offered such facrifices, v. g. human creatures, they worshipped, in effect, not God, but him, or them, under whose influence they worshipped.

Thus the words of the Apostle may be understood in the most unlimited sense, they sacrificed to daemons, and that of the worst kind; all their religious services were performed under the influence of these daemons; and, in no respect, did they offer proper worship to the true God: but this I leave to the determination of the learned and

pious reader.

0

0

1,

d

tt

y

e

of

ir

n

h

g

of

ne

re

The advocates for the knowledge of God, by the light of nature only, ought to be interested equally with us, to shew, that the heathen, in every age, have known God, and his will, in some degree. According to our fystem, with regard to tradition, it is easy to account for their deviations from the right knowledge of God, and of their duty. But if, according to them, every man in his fenses, must, upon viewing the works of nature, immediately discern their Creator, infinite in power, wisdom, and goodness, his government of the world, and fo many branches of their duty, how could they possibly have loft that knowledge, or degenerated in their conduct fo far, as the accounts given by themselves, evidently shew they did? How such an opinion can be made to accord with historical facts, we leave those who hold it to determine.

## BOOK II.

m

ad

the

ly

íu

gil

28

wa

yen

of

wi

cli

led

me

its

or his

the

co

an

M

## PART I. SECT. I.

BEFORE I proceed to adduce, from heathen writers, evidence that the most useful knowledge they were possessed of, especially concerning God and religion, was derived from tradition, it may be proper to premise a few observations, in order to pave the way for these quotations.

1. Then, the heathen made great progress in almost every branch of knowledge, excepting in that of religion only; they attained to a pitch of perfection, which, if equalled, has not been excelled by the moderns in all kinds of writing, in history, poetry, and oratory, in painting, sculpture, &c. whereas, when they began to explain the subjects of religion, the nature of God, his perfections, and will, and the duties which they owed to him; when they discoursed of superior beings, of the souls of men, and of a future state, they soon "darkened coun-" fel by words without knowledge;" they bewildered themselves in their own speculations; and, by their means, the rest who depended upon their instructions, (if indeed philosophers condescended to teach the vulgar) were involved in darkness; this holds true, not only of these corners where Barbarism and ignorance of the arts prevailed, but of the politest nations, and most celebrated times of heathenism; idolatry abounded, or, perhaps, always increased; even vices were consecrated, and their votaries we cannot suppose to have been virtuous; all of them

became vain in their imaginations, and their foolish heart was darkened. Since, then, they improved so much in every branch of science, except in religion alone, what must have been the cause of this difference?

Supposing, but not granting, that language, letters, and the principles of all the arts, were the inventions of men; these principles, when discovered, were persectly adapted to their capacities, and an immediate relief to their necessities; therefore succeeding ages would naturally make improvements in them, which accordingly we find was the case; but, as their speculations on religious subjects, were, for the most part, so dark, so unintelligible, so unprofitable, and inconsistent with truth, it feems to follow, that these subjects were not so obvious as the former, nor fo eafily comprehended; and that it was not by reason unaffisted, that they were first discovered; for if men, by the light of nature, without tradition or revelation, had discovered, that there was a God of fuch and fuch perfections, that man was endowed with a foul, and that that foul was immortal, they would have very readily, and naturally, improved, and not declined, as, in fact, they did, in the knowledge of them.

1

1

f

4

1

2 After the gospel began to be propagated, the know-ledge of God, and of man's duty, was diffused, not among the professors of Christianity only, but also among its enemies: by means of this revelation, the ploughman, or mechanic, knows, or may know, more of God, and of his duty, than the most renowned philosopher in the heathen world, who depended upon mere tradition, greatly corrupted by his own reason. Not to mention Cicero and Seneca, who flourished, the one a little before, and the other soon after our Saviour, and may have received information, the first from the Old Testament, translated

fur

cc q

" e

46 T

cc fl

66 C

" p

duc

tran

a m

moi

it:

66 O

cc tr

ec or

cc fv

" fe

Old

fpre

row

106 20

785 7

इक्तावर

+

T

into Greek, and the last from the gospel, which then began to fpread: there was established, in the second century, a school in Alexandria, the masters and disciples of which adopted into their fystem, from the tenets of all fects, Christian and heathen, what they thought best. In this school were bred some of the fathers of the church. and some of its keenest adversaries; the first tinctured in their principles, and hurt by vain philosophy, and the last improved by Christianity; of the last, I shall mention Hierocles only, who, about the beginning of the fourth century, wrote against Christianity; he also wrote a commentary on the Golden verses of Pythagoras. Before Christ came, the Stoics confounded God with matter, or the world, and confidered the foul as a part of God. which refolves at last into its original principles. The Academics held, that all things were uncertain. And the Epicureans dismissed God out of the world\*. Plato, chief among philosophers, is often obscure, where perfpicuity is neceffary; and, by reprefenting God as defective in certain virtues, fails in some very effential points, v g. among others, in accounting for the original of evilt. He was of opinion, either that evil arofe from the nature of matter, or from fome malignant principle, which nature could not overcome. How unfavourable to religion fuch a notion was, may eafily be difcerned; for, if moral evil arose from matter, or an evil principle, and not from the abuse of human liberty, why should men attempt to refist what God could not prevent? or why should they be blamed for that which they could not possibly avoid? Thus a door was, in effect, o-

<sup>\*</sup> Mosheim's Eccl. Hist. † Stillingsleet's Origin. Sacrae.

pened to vice, and the motives to virtue, in a great meafure, deprived of their force.

1-

25

ρf

ł..

1,

n

n

h

1-

re

d,

e,

-

1

e

-

il

y

y

Whereas Hierocles deduces moral evil from the abuse of human liberty: "Most men, says he, are vitious, van"quished by human affections, and their heart corrupt"ed by their inclination to the earth; so that this evil a"rifes from themselves, because they have voluntarily
"fled from God, and separated themselves from his so"ciety, which they enjoyed, while they were in the
"pure light \*."

In the writings of the antient heathen, oaths are introduced upon almost alloccasions? How often does even Plato transgress in this instance? whereas Hierocles shews, in a masterly manner, how pernicious the custom of common swearing is, and points out the means of avoiding it: "The best method of preserving reverence for an oath, is not to swear frequently, nor rashly, nor upon trivial occasions, nor for a supplement in conversation, or in confirmation of what is said. By an habit of swearing, one may easily fall into perjury; but he who seldom uses, most readily keeps an oath!"

The New Testament contains a clear exposition of the Old; by the New, the truths of revelation were widely spread; under the Old, they were confined within a narrower channel, or communicated in a more uncertain

Τ Μελετη δ' αριςη τε τηρείν το περι αυτον νίτ. ορκον σεδας, το μη πυκιως. μηθε ώς ετυχε, χρηθαι τω ορκω, μηθε επι τοις παρατυχεσι, μηθε είς αναπληρωσιν λογε, μηθε είς πισωσιν διηγημάρος.

<sup>\*</sup> Οἱ γὰρ πλειςοι κακοι, καὶ τῆς Ͽνητης προσπαθειας ῆτ]ες, τὴ φενος λας εις ὑπο τῆς εις γην κευσεως γενομενα, τὸς καὶ τετο παρ' ξαυτων
το κακον εχειν, δια το βεληθηναι φυγειν ἀπὸ θεῦ, καὶ ἀπομερισαι αὐτες τῆς τετε ὁμιλιας, ῆς ευτυχεν ν αὐγῆ καθαρα διαγον]ες.

<sup>‡</sup> Καὶ γὰρ εν τη συνεχεια το ομνυειν, ράδιως αν μεταπεσοι τις εις επιορχιαν, φειδοι δε τηρησις επεται.

tus,

of l

into

it ca

orig

whi

inst

who

ther

that

the

that

prov

man

dom

pose

F

fide

evid

in a

foun

latin

prin

are o

cern

from

gene

not t

manner; the knowledge of the modern heathens is diftinct and clear; that of the antient, in comparison, imperfect in many respects: the modern, we are certain; derived the knowledge, by which they improved their philosophy, from the Old and New Testaments: and may we not infer, that the antients received any knowledge they had of moral or religious truths, if not directly from the Old Testament, at least from tradition; the source of which was supernatural revelation?

3. It has been proved, by the learned, that the Latins derived their letters from the Greeks; and the Greeks acknowledge, that they received theirs, by Cadmus, from Phoenicia; the Phoenicians, fays Herodotus\*, introduced into Greece, besides many doctrines, letters, which were the first, in his opinion, which the Greeks had: these letters all the Phoenicians made use of; but, in process of time, together with the found, their form was altered; the Ionians first received them, and acknowledged their origin, by calling them Phoenician letters. Our author faw an inscription, in Cadmean letters, on fome tripods, in the temple of Apollo, in Thebes of Boeotia. In another book t, he fays, that the Greeks received from the Egyptians their religious rites, and, from time to time, the names of their gods; and that two Phoenician women, priestesses, the one in Lybia, and the other in Greece, instituted oracles. Diodorus Siculus observes, that, before the reign of Amasis, a king of Egypt, strangers were not admitted into that kingdom; but that afterwards many went thither for instruction, viz. Orpheus, Musoeus, Melampus, Dedalus, Homer, Lycurgus, Pythagoras, Eudoxus, Democri-

<sup>\*</sup> Terplicor. Lat. Trans. + Euterpe.

1

n-

n,

nd

w-

li-

n,

ns

ks

m ed

ch

d;

in

as

d-

s.

0-

e-

d,

at

a,

us

a

at

or

i-

tus, Solon, Plato. This fact, with regard to the travels of learned men; from Greece into the East, particularly into Egypt, is attested by so many antient writers, that it can admit of no doubt.

Beside, the knowledge, then, which the Pelasgi, the original inhabitants of Greece, were possessed of, and which they communicated to their posterity; beside the instruction derived from Cadmus, and his companions, who were all Phoenicians; from Orpheus, Solon and others, who had travelled into foreign parts, it is evident, that, in later times, some philosophers, who have made the greatest figure, such as Plato, have travelled, and that at such a period, as afforded the best means of improvement in theological knowledge; that was, when many of the Jews were settled in Egypt, and in the kingdoms around, and when the Old Testament, as some suppose, had been translated into Greek\*:

## SECT. II.

FROM the variety of evidence formerly adduced, befide the prefumptive arguments now mentioned, it will
evidently appear, that the heathen writers, if they were
in any measure guided by truth in their writings, will be
found to confess, that any knowledge they have had, relating to God, and to matters of religion, was derived
principally from tradition; but, as the bulk of mankind
are of opinion, that the knowledge which men have concerning these matters, took its rise, not from tradition, but
from nature, that opinion, it may be supposed, prevailed
generally through many ages back; and, therefore, it is
not to be expected, that we can produce from heathen

<sup>\*</sup> Stanley's Lives.

I

Cra

of fi

fron

and

" fa

" th

ee ir

a d

ce fi

66 C

ec m

« q1

thar

Soc

ftan

" h

" as

ly f

" th

66 b

" A

e pe

is r

was

into

65 W

A

Beos,

S

C

writers any direct evidence, that fuch knowledge was derived from tradition. Their writings are modern, and far removed from the original fource of tradition; and, even of these, it is but a part which has reached us; and, of these, it is but a small number which I have had opportunity of consulting.

Besides, the doctrines which the Greek philosophers were taught by strangers, they, for the most part, were so disguised, that the originals can hardly be discerned; and this they did, partly, to accommodate them to the taste of their countrymen, or the system of religion which then prevailed; and, particularly, that they might be honoured, as inventors of those things which they were taught by others. To all of them, what Cicero says, may justly be applied: "Many things in our laws are derived "from them, v.g. the Pythagoreans (therefore not from themselves) which I pass, lest what we are believed to "have invented, we should seem to have acquired by the "help of others "."

I shall, however, quote some instances of tradition, and of the opinions of the antients, in the following order:

First, with regard to the origin of language, and arts, especially those which were necessary for the preservation of human life.

Then concerning religion, viz. the immortality of the foul, and the being of God. And,

In the last place, I shall point out some observations deducible from the passages quoted.

<sup>\*</sup> Tuscul. Quaest. Lib. IV. Multa etiam sunt in nostris institutis, ducta ab illis, quae praetereo, ne ea, quae peperisse ipsi putamur, aliunde didicisse videamur.

de-

and

nd,

nd.

op-

ers

ere

ed;

the

ich

ho-

ere

nay

ved

om

to

the

on,

ng

ts,

va-

he

ns

in-

pfi

In the first place, then, as to language and arts. In Cratylus\*, Socrates acknowledges, that the Greek names of fire and water†, are not of Greek origin, but derived from the Barbarians, he supposes from the Phrygians, and so of wisdom, evil, and grief‡. "When we come, says he, to words which are simple, we may consider them as the elements, and inquire no surther concerning their original; or, as tragedians, when they are difficulted, introduce the gods, we may say that the first names were framed by the gods, or that we received them from the Barbarians; for the Barbarians are more antient than us; or that, by reason of their anti-quity, we cannot understand them."

Cratylus is of opinion, that it required powers, greater than human, to impose, with propriety, the first names. Socrates derives the name of Apollo, from the circumstance of his delivering men from evils. "Some, says he, not understanding this, have dreaded that name, as importing ruin or destruction." This will naturally suggest to the reader a passage in holy writ: "And they had a king over them, which is the angel of the bottomless pit, whose name in the Hebrew tongue is "Abaddon, but in the Greek tongue hath his name A-" pollyons.

Some god, or divine man, such as Theuth in Egypt is reported to have been, perceiving that voice or sound was indefinite, expressed it by letters, and formed these into words\*\*. "Who first gave names to all things, which Pythagoras considered as the effect of the highest

<sup>\*</sup> Plato. † Πυρ κὶ υδωρ. ‡ Σοφια, κακον, αλγηδων.  $\| Aπολλων \text{ from } Aπολλυων, \text{ or } Aπολλυων.$  $<math>\lambda vων$ . \*\* Plat. Philebus, Eπειδη φωνην απειρον κατενονοτεν, ειτε τις θεος, ειτε κὶ θειος ανδρωπος, &c.

In Politicus, mention is made of a great revolution which had happened in the world, and of the confequences of it; of which some were, that men being for sken by the daemon which had the charge of them, were torn by wild beafts; that, during these first times, i. e. after the revolution, they were ignorant of arts, and the earth ceased to produce, spontaneously, food for men; nor did they now know how to procure it, as they had been formerly urged by no necessity; by this means they were reduced to great straits; wherefore these gifts were bestowed by the gods, together with necessary instruction, viz. sire by Prometheus, the arts by Vulcan and his wise, seeds and fruits by others; and whatever things were necessary or convenient for the life of man, were supplied by these.

In the third book of laws, the Athenian, one of the speakers, says, "Do you think that the antient reports have any truth in them ‡?"

Glineas. What reports? Athen. That great numbers of men have been destroyed by deluges, diseases, and many other evils; so that very few of mankind remained.

<sup>\*</sup> Tuscul. Quaest. Lib. I. Qui primus, quod summae sapientiae Pythagorae visum est, omnibus rebus imposuit nomina? Aut qui dissipatos homines congregavit et ad societatem vitae convocavit? Aut qui sonos vocis qui infiniti videbantur, paucis literarum notis terminavit? Omnes magni.

<sup>†</sup> Plato. in Polit. Πυρ μεν παρα Προμιθεως, τεχναι δε παρ' Ηφαιστε, ι) της συντεχνε, σπερμαζα δε αυ ι) φυτα παρ' ἀλλῶν κỳ πανθ' ὅποσα τον ανδρωπινον βιον συγκατεσκευακεν, εκ τετων γεγονεν.

<sup>‡</sup> Ap' w v un oi manaioi noyei annouav exem tiva dousous; Idem.

ted

v a

l to

ion

en-

ken

orn

fter

rth

did

or-

ere be-

on,

fe.

re-

he

rts

ers nd

ed.

pi-

a?

ae

u-

21-

26

n.

Clin. This is very credible. Athen. Let us consider the devastation occasioned by the deluge. Clin. What may we infer from that? Athen. That those who escaped that disaster, were shepherds upon the tops of mountains; that iron, brass, and other metals, overwhelmed, would be lost; that any tools which remained on the mountains would soon be worn out, and could not be replaced, till men recovered the art of working metals: the potters and weavers arts stand in no need of iron; God gave to men these two arts, that, when reduced to such straits, they might spring up and multiply again\*. Agriculture was not the effect of art, but of nature, by the assistance of God †. They were great men who invented letters, but still greater who discovered corn, clothes, houses, the civilizing of mankind, and defence against wild beafts ‡.

2. I shall, in the next place, quote some passages relating to the immortality of the soul.

Solon, when he conversed with the priests of Egypt, found, that neither he, nor any Greek, understood any of these things, i.e. of antiquity, when he had asked the priests concerning some antient things, one of the oldest of them said, "Solon, Solon, ye Greeks are always chil-" dren, i.e. in knowledge, having in you no antient o-" pinion, by means of antient tradition."

† Idem, ή χωρας ξυμπασης γειοργία, ε γάς τεχνη, άλλά φυ-

Tuscul. Quaest. Lib. I. Etiam superiores, qui fruges, qui vestitum, qui tecta, qui cultum vitae, qui praesidia contra seras invenerunt.

Plat. Timaeus, Oudeman yap exere, d'apxaian anon wa-

<sup>\*</sup> Τετω τω τεχνα θεος εδωκε πορίζειν τοις αυδρωποις, εν όποτε es την τοιαυτήν αποριαν ελθοιεν, εχοι βλας ην και επιδοσεν το των ανθροπών γενος. Idem.

Plato, in his apology for Socrates, represents him as faying, "that, in death, one of these two things will hap"pen, either that the person dead will lose all sense,
and therefore that death will be a sleep without dreams,
or is, as is reported\*, there will be a change or translation of the soul from one place to another; in either
case, death will be an advantage; those in that state,
i.e. in another world, are not only happier than those
who are here; but if what is said be true; if they
are immortal, ye judges ought to entertain good hope
concerning death,"

Socrates tells his friends, "that he hoped the dead enipoy fomething; and that, as it was faid of old; it will
fare better with the good than with the bad. There
is a certain antient tradition, which we remember,
that they go thither, i.e. that fouls go into another
world."

We ought always to hearken to the antient and sacred traditions, which intimate to us, that the soul is immortals. We may have the best authorities for the confirmation of that opinion, which you wish to establish, i. e. concerning the immortality of the soul, which, in all causes deserves, and is wont to have great weight; and, in the first place, we have all the antients, who, the nearer they were to the origin of mankind, and of the

Egyptians, the Egyptians derived their knowledge of antient truths from tradition.

66

66

"

66

"

"

66

66

66

66

66

66

"

nei

qu:

ea

nus

VEVO

vwv

VOV !

<sup>\*</sup> Κατα τα λεγομενα.

<sup>†</sup> Ειπερ τα λεγομενα αληθή ες ιν.

<sup>‡</sup> Καὶ ώσπερ γε καὶ παλαι λεγεται. Plat. Phaede.

Παλαιος μεν εν εςι τις λογος έτος, έ μεμνημεθα. Ibid.

<sup>§</sup> Πειθεσθαι δε άτῶς αει χρη τοις παλαίοις τε καὶ iepois, λογοις, οἰ Γε μηνυνσιν ήμιν, άθανατον Αυχήν ειναι.

Platonis Epist. ad Dionis Amicos.

gods, the more clearly, perhaps, they discerned the truth\*.

Aristotle, in his book concerning the soul, speaking of its immortality, says, "Our opinion on this subject is so antient, that no body knows its commencement, nor who sirst entertained it; it has prevailed from the beginning †."

Here follows an abridged account of a great revolution: "The sun and stars arose where they now set, and "set where they now rise. Under the reign of Saturn, "men sprang from the earth, and were not descend-"ed from one another. God himself conducted the earth in its motion; after certain revolutions, he lest it to itself; and, by a motion of its own, it moved the contrary way. With that revolution, great changes have happened among us and other animals: men form merly renewed their age; youth, by a certain rotation, succeeded old age. This was handed down to us from the first of our ancestors, who lived soon after the first revolution. At first God carefully directed the whole circumvolution, as the guardian gods now rule particular districts. The beasts were not wild; none of them

<sup>\*</sup> Auctoribus quidem ad istam sententiam, quam vis obtinere, uti optimis possumus, quod in omnibus causis, et debet, et solet valere plurimum, et primum quidem, omni antiquitate, quae quo propius aberat ab ortu, et divina progenie, hoc melius, ea sortasse, quae erant vera, cernebat. Tuscul. Quaest. Lib 1.

<sup>†</sup> Καὶ ταιθ ετως άρχαια, καὶ παλαια διατελει νενομισμένα παρ πιριν ώστε το παραπαν έδεις οιδεν, ετε τε χρονε τη αρχην, ετε τω δεντα πρωτον, άλλὰ τον απειρον αίωνα τυγχανεσι διὰ τελες έτως νενομισμένα. Plutarch de Confolatione ad Apollonium.

<sup>†</sup> Platonie Polit. Απεμνημονευετο δε ύπο των ήμετερων προγονων τῶν πρωτών, οι τελευτωση μέν τη πρωτερα περιφορά, τον εξης χρονον εγειτούν.

"devoured another. There was no property of wives or children. The earth produced liberally to all. Then

" men conversed with one another, and with beasts.

"When the revolution happened, the earth-born race

" perished; the Governor of the universe left the helm,

"and retired to his own watch-tower; but, observing

"the confusion which ensued, he resumed the direc-

66

66

\*\*

33

35

ter

ic t

1 33

or t

pref

miri

and

thin

if th

that

" T

is te

oi pre

VEIV.

"tion, and rendered the world immortal "."

3. Concerning religion, and the being of God: "What "they, viz. the remains of mankind after the flood, heard concerning gods and men, they believed to be true,

" and lived accordingly. There is an antient tradition

" handed down to all men from their fathers, that of

"God, and by God, all things are made for ust."

"The gift of the gods to men, as appears evidently to me, was fent down from the gods, by means of a certain Prometheus, with a very bright fire, and the antients, who were better than us, and dwelt nearer to

" the gods, have transmitted to us this tradition |. All

\* Idem ibid + Platonis Polit. Περι θεων τε καὶ ανθρωπών τα λεγομενα, ἀλήθη νομιζονίες, εζων καζά ταϊτα.

‡ Aristot. De Mondo. 'Ως εκ θεν, τα πανία, και διά θεν ήμεν

Plat. Philebus. Θεων μέν εἰς ανθρωπες δοσις, ῶς γε καταραινείαι εμωι ποθεν εκ θεῶν ερρίφη διὰ τινος Προμιθεως, ἀμα φανωταίω τινι πυρι, καὶ οἱ μὲν παλαιοι, κρειτίονες ημων, καὶ εγίντερω θεῶν οικεντες, ταυτὴν φυμην παρεδοσαν. Now, whether these words, τηι ς τκαριτιο κ, refer to the gift of the gods, mentioned immediately before, or to the subject following, it is not easy to determine: the words immediately following those already quoted, are, ως εξ ενος μὲν καὶ πολλων, οντων τῶν αει λεγομενῶν ειναι, περας δὲ καὶ απειριαν εν εαυτοις ξυμφυτον εχοντῶν, which may, perhaps, be thus rendered, " That things or beings, which are " said always to exist, and having naturally in themselves finite," and infinite, consist of one, and many." Whether the author, by these words, one, and many, means things created,

the wife men agree in this, that Mind or Reason is to the King of heaven and earth to us \*. Shall we fave then, that an irrational and accidental force governs " all things, and what is called this universe? Or, on the contrary, as our fore-fathers + have affirmed, that MIND, and a certain admirable Wisdom, orders and " rules all things? Proterchus, one of the speakers, an-" fwers Socrates, who had proposed the question, thus: "To fay, that Mind orders, or has ‡ formed all those "things, is fuitable to the appearance of the world. So-" crates. It is not a groundless observation, but agree-" able to what was faid of old, that Mind always rules " the universe \."

In the case of injustice, the cause of which he pretends to defend, fays Adimantus, "How shall we be hid " from the gods, it will be asked? If they exist not, or "take no care of human affairs, we need give our-" felves no concern; if they exist and watch over men, "we have known, or heard nothing of them, but from

or the powers and qualities of these things metaphysically expressed: or, whether he means by them, one God, and the primirive matter of which all things here were made, or one God; and the ideas of things in his mind, as the exemplars of all things, I must leave to the determination of the reader. Or. if the word TRADITION refers to the gift of the gods, what that gift is, is expressed at the distance of a few lines after: "The gods, then, as I have faid, gave to us the power of contemplating, and learning, and also of teaching one another." οι μεν εν θεος (όπερ ειπον) έτως ήμιν παρεδοσάν σκοπειν, και μανθε very, noi Sidagnov andinasis.

\* Idem. Ibid . Nes Basineus nuiv spairs nal yns.

+ Ibid. Or apporter nuw.

I Dianospiew. Ibid.

t

ł

1

f

7

1

'n

P

**!-**

5,

-

- 4, , e

Τοις παλαι απορηναμενοίς. Id. ibid.

Ts marlos ves apxes.

"

cc ir

« fu

" bo

" p

" fo

" fh

" u

" th

" th

" th

66

es th

es go

" ha

66 A

" fh

conc

worl

rigin

the v

flood

Si eff

domi

que i

nec t

FAM

deind

ditis

exire

ferva

a Dii

"traditions and the poets, who treat of their genealogies \*. We have accounts in writing, partly in verse,
and partly in prose; the most antient speak of the
gods, how the heavens and other things were made,
&c. †

"Mind is more antient than body; to it the formation

"Mind is more antient than body; to it the formation of all things belong. It is not lawful to neglect the gods, when the tradition concerning all these is evident, and confirmed by reason. This most antient opinion, the origin of which cannot be determined, has been communicated from theologians and lawgivers, to poets and philosophers, which has obtained firm, confitant, and general belief, as appears not by writings only, and reports, but also by the facred mysteries and facrifices, both among Barbarians and Greeks; that the universe is not governed, or was not raised up, without a Mind, and Reason, and a Governor of."

\* Plato. De Repub. Lib. II Οὐκ ἀλλοθεν τοι αὐτες ισμεν, ἢ, ἀκηκοαμεν, ἢ εκ τε των λογῶν, καὶ τῶν γενεαλογησαντων Ποιητων.

† Idem. De Repub. Lib. X Είσι ήμιν εν γραμμασι λόγοι κειμενοι, οι μεν εν τισι μετροις, οι δε ανευ μετρων, λεγοντες περι θεῶν οι μεν παλαιστάζοι, ώς γεγονεν η πρωτη φυσις ερανε, τῶν τε ἀλλῶν.

‡ Τετο δε ες ι σχεδον, ω μονω πλατίειν, και δημαρχείν προσηκει.

| Καθαφαίνες γενομενής της παντών αυτών κατά τροπού λεγομενής Φημής ευτύχες. Ibid.

ή Παλαιος αυτη κατεισιν εκ θεολογών, καὶ νομοθετων, εις τε ποητας καὶ φιλοσορες δοξα, την αρχην αδεσπόρον εχεσα, την δε πις ν ισχυραν, καὶ δυσεξαλειπόν, εκ εν λογοις μονον, εδε εν φημαις, άλλα εντε τελεταις, εν τε θυσιαις, καὶ βαρδαροις, καὶ Ελλησι πολλαχε περιφερομένην, ως εκ ανεν, καὶ άλογον, καὶ ακυδεριητον, αιωρειται τω αυτοματω, το Παν. Plutarch De Isid. et Osirid. Our author, it is true, immediately introduces his doctrine concerning an evil principle, as founded, in his opinion, upon the same antient and universal testimony. The opinion which many entertained with regard to an evil principle, took its rise, no doubt, from tradition corrupted, and from the observations which men made

"Were there men who always lived under the earth in good and splendid abodes, set off with pictures, and furnished with all these things with which those are bound, who are accounted happy, and had never appeared above the earth, BUT HAD HEARD BY REport, that there are certain gods, supposing that in fome after period, by the opening of the earth, they should escape from these secret abodes, immediately, upon seeing the earth, seas, and heavens, the beauty, the motions, the revolutions and order in all these, they would conclude both that there are gods, and that these so great works are their works."

alo-

erfe.

the

ade,

tion

the

evi-

it o-

has

s, to

con-

nly,

ices,

erfe

ind,

ツ、引、

HEI-

ww oi

THEI.

uevns

TON-

TISIV

λλα

गाह-वय-

it is

evil

and

vith

adi-

ade

wv.

"One should preserve the rites of his family, and fathers, that is (since antiquity approaches nearest to the
gods) a man should maintain religion, because it is
handed down, as it were, from the gods †. When the
Athenians consulted Apollo, what rites of religion they
should retain, the answer was, These observed by their

concerning natural and moral evils which abounded in the world. If this was the case, tradition, with regard to the origin of evil, and concerning God, as the former and ruler of the world, must have universally prevailed, before the opinion concerning an evil principle, as it came by degrees to be understood, was adopted by any man.

\* Cicero. De Nat. Deor. quotes this passage from Aristotle, Si essent, inquit, qui sub terra habitavissent, bonis, et illustribus domiciliis, quae essent ornata signis, atque picturis, instructaque rebus iis omnibus, quibus abundant ii, qui beati putantur, nec tamen exissent unquam supra terram, ACCIPISSENT autem FAMA et AUDITIONE, esse quoddam numen, et vim deorum, deinde aliquo tempore, patesactis terrae saucibus, ex illis abditis sedibus, evadere in haec loca, quae nos incolimus, atque exire potuissent, &c.

† Idem. De Leg. Lib. II. Jam ritus familiae, patrumque servare, id est, (quoniam antiquitas proxime accedit ad Deos)

a Diis quasi traditam religionem tueri.

C

t

66

¢¢

be

m

d

h

m

da

th

gı

W

in

of

OI

01 66

66

\*

"faid, that the manner of their ancestors had been often changed, and asked, which of the various manners they should copy? the answer was, The best; and surely there is reason for reckoning that most ancient, and mearest to God, which is best \*."

#### SECT. III.

HAVING quoted several passages, to my purpose, from the most celebrated of the heathen writers, I shall now make some observations upon them; and,

I. The discovery of those arts which were necessary for the preservation of man's life, and for the association of mankind, viz. the invention of names to things, of corns, of agriculture, clothes, and the like, appeared to the learned among the heathen, so dissicult, that they ascribed it to God, or to men inspired by God; and this their opinion was sounded upon tradition.

1. As to language, or the imposition of names on things; the first words which man heard was from God; and although he could not understand the meaning of the words which were spoken to him, unless the things so named had been either pointed out by some visible, external means, or the knowledge of the words communicated by inspiration; in either of these ways, it was easy for

<sup>\*</sup> Idem. De Leg. Lib. II. Cum consulerent Athenienses Apollinem Pythium, quas potissimum religiones tenerent, oraculum editum est, "Eas quae essent in more majorum, quo cum
iterum venissent, majorumque morem dixissent saepe esse
mutatum, quaesivissentque, quem morem potissimum sequerentur, e variis, respondet, "Optimum." Et prosecto ita est,
ut id habendum sit antiquissimum, et Deo proximum, quod
fit optimum."

<sup>†</sup> See Gen. i. 28, 29, 30. ii. 16.

God to impart to Adam, and to his wife, the meaning of the words which they heard.

nd

ten

ney

ely

nd

fe,

all

for

on

to

ahis

on

1;

he

fo

xit-

or.

A-

m

ffe

ft,

od

It is faid, "that God brought the beafts to Adam, to " fee what he would call them; and whatfoever he cal-" led every living creature, that was the name there-" of." The facred history, especially between the creation and the flood is evidently short. What time elapsed between the creation of man and his fall, is no where mentioned; therefore we are under no necessity of suppoling that it was very short, or that every transaction. during that period, is particularly mentioned. Adam having, in the first place, heard God giving names to many things, and understood him; as he had, probably, daily intercourse with God, in his innocent state, and, by that means, would improve in his knowledge of language; and, as he had opportunity of conversing with his wife concerning the things which they heard named, he would, by that habit, naturally acquire a facility in naming new objects when they occurred.

Besides, the passage in the original may leave room to suppose, that though Adam gave names to all the beasts, he did not name them all at once, but only one, or a sew of them, at that time, and the rest afterwards, as he had opportunity. The words, literally rendered, run thus, and brought, i.e. God brought; there is nothing in the original for them; we may supply its place with it: "Un"to Adam, to see what he would call it, and whatsoever
"Adam called it, that was the name of it, and Adam
"gave names to every living creature\*." God, as the great master, having taught Adam many names of things, seems here to try what proficiency his scholar had made,

<sup>\*</sup> Shuckford's Connect, Vol. IV.

he brought to him a beaft, or a few beafts, to see what he would call them.

it

tl

of

the

fir

for

of

tur

149

out

ver

luti

- 1

hift

mei

terv

time

or a

hen

ec w

ce go

Orac

S

2. As to corns, or plants and fruits, "the Lord God " made the earth, and the heavens, and every plant of se the field, before it was in the earth, and every herb of " the field before it grew \*," i. e. he produced them in the earth in a flate of perfection, and all at once, not by a gradual process, as he has done ever fince. Now, that corn was of that number, we may take for granted; and that God instructed man in the use of it, may appear probable, because the cultivation of it seems to have been necessary for its preservation; because, if Adam stood not in immediate need of it, it would foon become to him, as it has hitherto been to his posterity, a necessary mean of life; and because God, in teaching man what food he was to eat, faid to him, "I have given you every herb "bearing feed," among which was corn, "to you it " shall be for meat +." Charles & Shingle of Minne

3. As to agriculture, or the cultivation of the ground; "the Lord God took the man, and put him into the "garden of Eden," &c. Here is a duty pointed out, the dressing of the garden, including, probably, the breaking up, or digging of the ground. If this was one end proposed, how could he accomplish it, without some instruction concerning the instruments necessary in order to it? After the fall, God said to Adam‡, "Cursed is the "ground for thy sake, in sorrow shalt thou eat of it all "the days of thy life; in the sweat of thy sace shalt thou eat bread;" which includes labour in digging the ground. We are not to conclude from this, that Adam had no labour in his innocent state; but that after he sin-

<sup>\*</sup> Gen. ii. 4. † i. 29. ‡ iii. 17.

nat

od

of

of

he

ra+ orn

hat

ole,

ary

m-

s it

of

he

erb.

1 it

nd;

the

the

ing

oro-

uc-

it?

the

hou

the

lam finned, the ground became either less fruitful, or abounded more in noxious weeds; and, therefore, that it would require more labour, and greater pains in the cultivation of it. The man must have had some idea of the curse denounced; and, therefore, of the labour requisite in cultivating the ground, and, of course, of the instruments, however simple, necessary for that end, whether they were branches of trees, or sharp stones, or whatever they were.

4. As to clothes, I need quote only a fingle passage \*:

"And, unto Adam and to his wife, did the Lord God
"make coats of skins, and clothed them." From all
these particulars, it is evident, that God gave to man the
first principles of all the sciences, at that time necessary
for him, which, however simple at the beginning, a sense
of want, the love of pleasure, and of novelty, would naturally prompt mankind to improve.

II. Saturn's reign is described as peaceful; men sprung out of the ground; the beasts were not wild; men conversed with beasts, and then there happened a great revolution.

1. As to Saturn, it appears that men of renown, whose history was conveyed down by tradition, after the commencement of idolatry, were considered as gods: and afterwards men, who deserved well of the public, were some time after their death dessied, called, in their life-time, or after their death, by the name of some antient god: hence so many Joves.

Says a speaker, in one of Plutarch's dialogues; "If we design some daemons by the names given to the sound gods, it is not to be wondered at; for each of them

<sup>\*</sup> Gen. iii. 21. † Cicero, De Nat. Deorum. ‡ De Oracul, Defect.

"he is ranked, and from whom he received his power and honour; and, among us, one is named Jovius; or called by the name of Jove; another by that of Mi-merva; another of Apollo; and another of Bacchus: of these, some are, by accident, well designed; but many of them have nothing resembling the gods but the names." Says Cicero\*, "It may be understood; that, in most states, in order to animate men with valour, for the desence of their country, the memory of brave men was consecrated with the honour of the image mortal gods."

fo

lif

lo

of

gio

WC

the

the

ch

gio

my

and

fro

im

and

§ iii

Many persons thus called by one name, and a variety of characters and actions belonging to all of them, attributed often to one, makes it difficult to distinguish any one among such a number, or to shew what person it was, to which such a particular name was first given: however, from many particulars in the history of Saturn, it seems probable, that, among the heathen, Noah was the first who was designed by that name †, or, perhaps, Adam, whom, in process of time, they might very readily confound with Noah, like men, who viewing two objects in a line, are apt to consider them as one only; or both Adam and Noah may be included.

One character of Saturn's reign is, that it was peaceful; Adam and his wife, in their flate of innocence, enjoyed great peace and happiness; and Noah and his family, generally speaking, after the flood.

Another, that the earth brought forth men; that the earth, spontaneously, produced trees, beasts, and men, was an opinion that prevailed much; especially in E-

<sup>. \*</sup> De Nat. Deor. † Stillingsleet's Orig. Sac.

gypt\*, the fource of which opinion, or tradition, was, very probably, the following historical fact: "And the "Lord God formed the man of the dust of the ground, and breathed into his nostrils the breath of life."

m

ref

us4

Ti-

18:

ut

ut

di

7a=

of

n-

ty

ri-

ny

it

n:

n,

he.

m,

n-

efs

th

ıl;

ed

e-

he

ny E- Then men conversed with beasts; "God brought the "beasts to Adam, to SEE what he would call them ‡." Noah was commanded to take into the ark, with him, beasts of all kinds, in order to preserve them alive | And Eve and the serpent conversed with one another §.

About that time there happened a great revolution, followed with the most important consequences, embellished and set off among the heathen with many fabulous circumstances; such was that occasioned by the fall of Adam, and that by the deluge in the days of Noah.

III. From these few quotations it appears:

1. That the heathen received, by tradition, their religion, the knowledge of their gods, and the manner of worshipping them; therefore any knowledge they had of the truth, viz. of God, and of his will, disguised under the rites of idolatry, they must have received by the same channel; that is, by tradition.

Again, if idolatry was a perversion of the true religion, first more simple, and then more complex; they who first began to corrupt religion, and who therefore must have had, in some measure, the knowledge of God, and of their duty, must have received that knowledge from tradition also.

2. By tradition they were taught the doctrine of the immortality of the foul, and of a future state of rewards and punishments. This doctrine is one of the effential

<sup>\*</sup> Diodor: Sicul. Lib. I. † Gen. ii. 7. ‡ ii. 10. | vi. 7.

ledge of this by tradition, we have equal reason to believe, that they acquired, by the same means, the knowledge of the other articles, equally essential, viz. of the being of God, and of his will.

3. In one of the passages above quoted, it is acknowledged, that, in order to mens understanding that the works of nature are the works of God, they behoved, in the first place, to hear that there is a God. Accordingly Plato and Plutarch declare it to have been an antient and universal tradition, that the world is governed by a

f

j

T

21

2

"

66

E

th

to

G

gr

th

lec

tha

it,

lov any Go

Mind or Reason, i. e. by God.

Plato, in his Timaeus, describes the formation of the world, as the work of God, the knowledge of which fact he received from information; and Aristotle observes, that a tradition had been handed down, that of God, and by God, are all things. Therefore, fince by tradition, according to their own acknowledgment, they understood that the world was framed and governed by God. And, fince they grant, that they behoved to hear there was a God, in order to their knowing that the works of creation were his works, I hope I may be allowed to conclude, that it was by tradition also, that they understood there was a God, or Divine Being, whose works these And I am perfuaded, that if all the arguments advanced, and quotations made hitherto in this work, are feriously considered, compared, and taken together, the impartial reader will, without hefitation, join with me in the fame conclusion.

# PART II.

Wa

be-

the

the

in

ng-

ent

y a

the

fact

ves,

and

ion,

ood

nd.

as a

rea-

on-

cood

hese

ents

ork,

her.

with

11.3

## SECT. I.

I SHALL here point out, and endeavour to explain, fome passages of scripture, which seem to suggest an objection to the doctrine which I have advanced; and if a few of the most material of these are explained to the reader's satisfaction, what is said on these, may serve as an exposition of all the rest of the same kind, Rom. i. 19, 20. "Because that which may be known of God is ma"nisest in them; for God hath shewed it unto them; for "the invisible things of him, from the creation of the "world, are clearly seen, being understood by the things "that are made, even his eternal power and Godhead."

In the two first and part of the third chapters of this Epistle, the Apostle shews the necessity of salvation by the righteousness of Christ. In order the more clearly to evince this, he shews, that all men, both Jews and Gentiles, "had sinned, and were therefore under the "curse."

But being aware, that where there is no law, or no knowledge of the law, or will of God, there is no transgression, he tells us in the verses which I have quoted, that God did communicate to the Gentiles the knowledge of himself; and, therefore, since they perverted that knowledge, and acted in a manner inconsistent with it, they were transgressiors, and liable to punishment.

That which may be known of God; that is, his being, probably, his eternal power and Godhead, as in the following verse. No angel, however exalted, much less can anyman perfectly comprehend the nature and attributes of God; but God, according to his good pleasure, hath made

himfelf, in a certain measure, known to all men, to Chriftians under the gospel most clearly, to the Jews less so; and even the heathen had opportunity of knowing fo much of him as might have prevented their idolatry, and many other crimes of which they were guilty; they knew fo much as rendered them liable, fince they held the truth in unrighteousness, to the wrath of him who judgeth righteously; or the word rendered, that which may be known, may, perhaps, be translated, the knowledge of God. We find a word of the same kind translated, substantively, the goodness of God\*; and, in the passage before us, the words are, to gnoston tu Theut, and may be rendered, the knowledge of God, or that which may be known of him, is manifest among or in them. If the knowledge of God was communicated to the Gentiles in general, that knowledge must have made some impression upon the mind of every individual: thus, ver. 15. they are faid to " fhew " the work of the law written in their hearts;" therefore the word may be rendered IN, manifested in them.

But this interpretation may, strictly examined, amount to the same with the former; for knowledge must have a reference to the thing known; therefore the knowledge of God must imply the knowledge of his being, and some of his persections, viz. as it is afterwards expressed, his eternal power and Godhead.

C

ti

44

46

tl

fı

For God hath shewed, or revealed, or manifested it to them. The question here is, what is included in these words, God hath shewed it unto them? or by what means did he reveal himself to the Gentiles? There were two means by which he communicated to them the knowledge of himself, tradition, and the works of creation and

<sup>\*</sup> Rom. ii. 4. To Xonorev tu Giu. † To yonorov tu Giu.

rif-

fo:

g fo

and

new

the

idg-

w be

God.

ive-

us,

red,

im,

God

ow-

iind

hew fore

unt

nave

edge

and fed,

t to

hefe

eans

two

ow-

8÷ .

providence. If it be faid, that we cannot reasonably suppose any more means intended by the word shewen, than we find in ver. 20. which appears to be an explication of it; and that verse seems to treat of the evidence arising from the works of God only, and not from tradition.

I answer, that the works of God, were not the only nor the primary means of imparting to men, the knowledge of him. This appears from the most antient writings, facred and profane, and even from the nature of the thing: this I have endeavoured to prove in the preceding sections: and, in the exposition of this passage, I hope to make it appear, that the Apostle has an eye to tradition, or that he goes upon the supposition of it.

It is no unusual thing with this Apostle, to refer to tradition, when he speaks not directly of it \*: " As certain "also of your own poets have said; for we are also his "offspring." Of whom does the poet say, we are all the offspring? Of Jove. From whence did this poet, and all the heathen, derive this name, by which they called their supreme God? from Jehovah, the name of the true God among the Hebrews; and by what means did they become acquainted with that name? certainly by tradition.

Rom. i. 20. "For the invisible things of him, even "his eternal power and Godhead, being understood," or thought, or meditated upon, "are clearly seen by the "things which are made."

By these words, "from the creation of the world," the Apostle evidently means, not any evidence arising from the works made, but the time only when they were

<sup>\*</sup> Acts xvii. 28,

created. This expression is of the same import with these following\*: "From the beginning of the creation, God "made them male and semale. In those days shall be "affliction, such as was not from the beginning of the "creation, which God created." All things continue "as they were from the beginning of the creation."

ri

P

al

ed

ne

tra

hi

tic

un

to .

"

er f

" (

e t

« a

ec 1:

Now, how did men from the creation, or from the time when the world was created, attain to the knowledge of God? did he conceal himself from their view, and leave them to their own natural anticipations, or to the works which they faw, in order to arrive at the knowledge of him? That this was not the case, I have formerly shown from a variety of circumstances; and, among others, from the testimony of scripture: "Male and fe-" male created he them; and God bleffed them, and God " SAID unto them, be fruitful, and multiply , &c.-And " the Lord God commanded the man, SAYING, of every " tree of the garden thou mayest freely eat §." From feveral other passages, it evidently appears, that God frequently conversed with the first man, and with his family. Adam, then, immediately after he was created, heard God speaking to him: it was thus that he attained to the knowledge of God: and thus he understood that he himfelf, and all the works which his eyes beheld, were the works of God: thus he understood the language, if we may fo fpeak, of the heavens, of the earth, and of providence, publishing the power and Godhead of their great author.

In the same manner, all men, from that day to this, have acquired any knowledge they have had of God, they

<sup>\*</sup> Mark x. 6. † xiii. 19. ‡ 2 Peter iii. 4. | Gen.

have first heard him, or heard of him, and then the creatures around became their daily instructors.

ele ad

be

he

ue

he

w.

to

wer-

ng

fe-

od

nd

ery

fe-

re-

ni-

ard

the

m-

the

we

vi-

eat

nis,

hey .

en.

"Are clearly feen, being understood," or meditated, or thought upon, in the order, and by the means which I have mentioned.

"By the things that are made." The same word, in the singular, in Eph. ii. 10. is rendered workmanship: "We are his workmanship." There he speaks of the spiritual creation, or renovation of the saints; but, in the passage which we are explaining, he has in his view the works of creation, and, probably, those of providence also.

It may only be further noticed, that the word rendered, "things that are made," should, probably, be connected, not with the word, "understood," but with that translated, "clearly seen." Thus, the invisible things of him, i. e. his eternal power and Godhead, from the creation of the world, being thought, meditated upon, or understood, "are clearly seen by the things that are "made."

The meaning of the words, properly construed, appears to be as follows: "The invisible things of him, i.e. his "eternal power and Godhead, ever since the world was "created, thought, or meditated upon, or understood, "first, by means of revelation, or tradition, are then "clearly seen by the works of creation and providence."

The next passage which I shall mention, is \*, "When the Gentiles which have not the law, do, by nature, the things contained in the law, these having not the law, are a law unto themselves, which shew the work of the law written in their hearts."

" As many as have finned without law, i.e. the writ-

<sup>\*</sup> Rom. ii. :4.

ten law, fuch as the Gentiles, shall also perish without law; and, as many as have sinned in the law, i. e. the written law, such as the Jews, shall be judged by the law; for not the hearers of the law are just before God, but the doers of the law shall be justified \*; i. e. were men to be justified by the law, it is not the hearing, or knowledge of the law, but perfect obedience to it, that will answer the purpose.

"For when the Gentiles, which have not the law, the written law of Moses, do, by nature, the things contained in the law," &c. It is to be observed, that the Gentiles are not here mentioned, as men who obeyed the law, and who were therefore justified by their obedience; but as men who had a law, though not the written one, which law they all transgressed. "We have before proved, both Jews and Gentiles, that they are all under sint."

tl

P

H

he

er

th

tir

av

pro

ing

fue

den

the

acti

the

lar ed e

"Do, by nature, the things contained in the law."
What were the things contained in the law, which they did?

1. In their laws, we find many things agreeable to the moral law, and many which that law expressly condemns.

2. From their own history, we observe, that some of them did many actions, seemingly virtuous, or materially good; but, as they changed the truth of God into a lie, love to God, which is the soul of virtuous actions, was not the principle of theirs; therefore their works and characters, compared with the law, were, as a body without the soul, dead. From their history, we also learn,

<sup>\*</sup> Rom, ii. 12. † iii. 9.

that all of them, even as to their outward conduct, came thort of obedience in very material points.

gave them over to a reprobate mind, to do those things which are not convenient, being filled with all unrighteoutness, fornication, wickedness, covetousness, mali"eiousness, full of envy, murder," and so on. It is evident, then, by what they did and said, that they knew
the law in a certain measure; and it is as evident, that,
though they knew, they transgressed it. This the Apostle had undertaken to prove.

But it is faid, that, "by nature," they did these things. How they attained to the knowledge of these things, and how they are said to have done them by nature, I shall

endeavour to shew.

out

the

the

od,

ere

or

hat

W.

ngs

ed,

0-

neir

the

ave

are

w."

hey

to

on-

e of

rial-

to a

ons,

orks

pody

arn

1. When they heard, from generation to generation, that there was a God, and daily saw his works giving testimony to that truth; when they heard that such or such things were agreeable to God, and others objects of his aversion; that some things were sit, commendable, and profitable, others improper, shameful, and hurtful; having natures so constituted as to receive impressions from such information, which information, God, in his providence, communicated, in some degree, to all mankind, they would be disposed, in general, to do such or such actions, as right and praise-worthy, and to sorbear others, as wrong and blameable; for, as to every particular branch of duty, however much they knew or approved of, we find that they did not sulfil them.

By means of instruction, their reason would be awa-

C

kened, and have materials to work upon, which it had not before; and reason, thus excited, and by means of instruction and observation, gradually improved, and the moral sense connected with it, would point out, or suggest to a man, before-hand, this part of conduct as pious and just, and that as impious and unjust; and conscience, whose province it is, to judge of actions done, or just performing, would, naturally, according to the light which a man had, and according as his conduct was agreeable to, or inconsistent with it, applaud or condemn him.

25

C

fe

ne

re

all

the

OW

fui

"]

fer

to

and

tion

pro

and

Gen

the

felv

law

the

ver,

But how can they be faid to do these things by nature? According to the primary sense of the word natural, the first state of mankind, might, perhaps, be called their natural state. In a secondary sense in which the scriptures make use of that word, their state of sin is called their natural state, because, ever since the fall, men are born in that condition; "and were all, by nature, children of "wrath, even as others"."

Again, the custom which has prevailed in a place, seems to be called nature; and a deviation from that custom, an opposition to nature. "Doth not even nature it—"felf teach you, that if a man have long hair, it is a shame "unto him+." These few observations will, perhaps, help us to explain the passage before us, when it is said, "that the Gentiles do, by nature, the things contained in the law."

We are not to conclude, that they were felf-taught, or like infants, exposed in a wilderness, excluded from all human converse; and that, in that state, the knowledge of right and wrong, and a disposition to the one, and an

<sup>\*</sup> Eph. ii. 3. See Rom. xi. 24. † 1 Cor. xi. 14.

t had

ns of

d the

fug-

pious

nfci-

e, or

light

as a-

lemn

ure?

the

r na-

tures

their

rn in

n of

lace,

cuf-

re it-

ame

aps,

faid,

ined

t, or

all a

edge

d an

aversion to the other, sprung up in them, spontaneously, as heath in the desert. I have already shewn, that their condition was very different.

All men are faid to be children of wrath by nature, because, though their first state was a state of innocence, since Adam sinned, all have sinned.

Nature is appealed to, as teaching men not to wear long hair, a practice in Corinth, whatever it was, which feems to have crept in contrary to custom. In like manner, the Gentiles, who did some things which the law required, are said to have done them by nature, because all along, from father to son, they had been informed of these things, and by means of that instruction, and their own observation, disposed, and accustomed, in some meanure, to do them.

"These having not the law, are a law unto themselves, which shew the work of the law written in their
hearts." What was said on the preceding article, may
serve for the illustration of this; the office of the law, is,
to command and forbid, to judge, to acquit the obedient,
and condemn transgressors. Now, tradition, or instruction, in the first place; then the works of creation and
providence; and, by these means, reason, the moral sense,
and conscience, would take such hold of the hearts of the
Gentiles, that they would become, as it were, a law to
themselves, judging, acquitting, or condemning themselves, as they thought they deserved; therefore, as the
law of Moses was engraved upon stone, they shewed that
the law was so written in their hearts, that it could never, in any age, or nation, be totally effaced.

## 

the transfer of and include the of the disease

IF God has thus made known to mankind, in every age, his being and perfections, by means of revelation and tradition, in the first place, as I have endeavoured, in the preceding sections, to prove, it may easily be supposed, that that method of instruction would be as satisfying, as much fitted to convince, to quiet, and secure the mind from doubt and septicism, nay more, than any other which men have devised, and which has so long obtained the vogue.

This I hope to make appear in this fection.

From tradition, oral or written, we derive the greatest part of our knowledge. How do we know any thing of former ages, of the characters of men, and of nations, or of the times in which events past have happened? By tradition. How do many of us know, that there are fuch kingdoms as Russia, or France, or that there are such cities, as Constantinople, Paris, or London, or that there is fuch a personage as George III. King of Great Britain? By information. Was our knowledge confined to the truths, which we ourselves have discovered, or to the places or things, which we have actually visited. or feen, how limited would it be? We would be, as the Egyptian priest faid to Solon concerning the Greeks, children indeed. It is evidently then the intention of Providence, that mankind should depend, in a great meafure, upon tradition, for instruction and for happinefs. We have feen, that there is fuch a thing as tradition; and human nature is adapted to that mode of information; or, in other words, the nature of man is fo constituted, that he is disposed to believe the testimony of others, and thus to become acquainted with truths of

vast importance to him, which otherwise he never would have known. Accordingly, they who have heard of Sir Isaac Newton, and of Joseph Addison, Esq; celebrated writers, each in his kind, as readily believe that there were such men, and that they were the authors of the works which bear their names, as they believe that the sun shines when they see the light of it, or that they themselves exist.

very

ation

ured,

fup-

fatif-

cure

than

long

atest

ng of

s, or

tra-

fuch

h ci-

here

Bri-

ined

, or

ited,

the

eks.

n of

reat

ppi-

adi-

for-

con-

y of

s of

It may be faid, that mankind are much depraved; that there are many forgeries; and that men are thus liable to dangerous impositions: but, though there are many instances of falsehoods, and men have been deceived by them, they cannot alter the constitution or laws of nature. Although men are sometimes deceived, they do not, they cannot, for that reason, cease, in all cases, to believe; or should they endeavour to believe nothing but what they fee with their eyes, this would be a violation of nature, and as evident a subversion of it, as if a man would not walk, because he could not walk upon his head, or not fpeak, because he could not speak with his ears? hence, mankind would reckon him a fool, or difordered in his fenfes, or worfe, who would believe no testimony. because he had once detected a falsehood; or no writings, because he had discovered one or two forged, or who, for fear of imposition, would not believe that there is such a city as Jerusalem, unless he had seen it, nor that he is the fon of fuch a mother, unless he had some other method, besides the report of others, to ascertain that fact.

Besides, could he prevail with himself to reject all testimony, where shall he stop, or find any truth upon which his mind may rest? May not the same unnatural turn of mind, which led him to reject the evidence of testimoay, suggest to, or even persuade him, that what he sees, and what he feels, are mere illusions, and that all is uncertainty and confusion?

Thus, having violated one law of his nature, he is naturally led to transgress them all, the consequence or punishment of which, is, that his mind is set adrift; involved in an abyss of horrible darkness, his life becomes a burden, and his existence worse than nothing. See Dr Beattie's excellent work on the immutability of truth.

It may be further noticed, that this disposition in mankind, so natural, and so universal, to believe testimony, or tradition, was designed not merely for the common purposes of human life, though that end, indeed, is an evident mark of beneficence and wisdom, which merits our admiration and praise; but also, in a special manner, for the more noble purpose of man's real happiness both in this life, and in the next, and by this means for the honour of God. "Without faith it is impossible to please "God; faith cometh by hearing, and hearing by the "of word God."

di

ci

m

ap

po

ob

cre

Having thus shewn, that a disposition to believe testimony, is an essential part of the human constitution, we shall apply this reasoning to the subject of which we are treating. Let us suppose, which was no doubt the case, that Adam and Eve informed their sons Cain and Abel, that there was a God; that they heard him speaking to them; that he created all things, and preserves them; and that he enjoined them certain duties, which they daily performed; the children would naturally believe this testimony, and, in some measure, act accordingly: thus, we find each of them bringing his offering to God, as a testimony of their homage and respect: and these offerings were such as reason could never have dictated; and, therefore, they

ın-

na-

OF

in-

nes

See

of

an-

ny,

non

an

rits

ner,

h in

ho-

ease

the

no-

hall

ng.

lam

was

he

en-

ed;

1 of

heir

23

hey

were evidently the effect of tradition believed, or of the education which they had received. And this has been the case, from that down to the present time; a father, or some one for him, tells his children, that there is a God, the Creator and upholder of all things; that some things he requires, and others he forbids; they believe his report; and, as they grow up, and understand more distinctly the terms of his information, their belief becomes more firm and stable, and they worship as they have seen their father worship.

And it is worthy of remark, that many of the lower class of men, whose process of reasoning on points of realigion is very simple and short, and such, as it is the resessant of information, believe at least as sirmly, have as few doubts concerning religion, and its doctrines, and act as agreeably to its precepts, as many of the same principles by profession, of a much more liberal education, who profess to be great adepts in reasoning, and capable of deep researches.

Again, there is another principle in man, to which tradition is adapted; a principle which is quickened, and called forth into exercise by instruction; I mean, a principle of devotion, or of regard of some kind or other to the supreme Being; that this principle is natural to mankind, (and it operates first by means of information) appears from its universal influence; for all men are disposed to entertain a religion of some sort: hence Cicera observes\*, "This seems to be a very strong argument for

<sup>\*</sup> Porro, firmissimum hoc affirmari videtur, cur Deos esse credamus, quod nulla gens tam sera, nemo omnium tam sir immanis, cujus mentem non imbuerit deorum opinio.

Tuscul. Quaest.

"our belief that there are gods; that there is no nation for barbarous, none of mankind to favage, whose mind has "not been impressed with the belief that there are gods."

In the next place, man being thus instructed with regard to God, and his works, his reason would naturally acquiesce in the information; upon viewing the works of creation, he must, as he has heard, conclude, and be convinced, that so great an effect must have had a glorious cause: that since God was able to create and preserve the world, and make all its parts answer such wise and beneficent ends, he must be powerful, wise, and goods that since his providence superintends all things, and at all times, he must be infinite in knowledge, and present every where; that since he gives rain and fruitful seafons, sends good and evil, health and sickness, and death, he must be, as his word represents him, just as well as good; the enemy and avenger of vice, and the friend of virtue.

16

fe

h

to

fu

ftr

th

W

hin

hir

of i

fo c

tem

wha

con

the

and

beer

Thus tradition, and the works of creation and providence, unite in testifying concerning God, and his perfections; and man is constituted by nature, to believe, to approve, to acquiesce in, and feel that evidence, and to entertain some kind of regard to God, to love and revere, or to dread his facred name.

This evidence then set before all men, so disposed, must make an impression, which appears to me quite indelible; and as there never was, perhaps, a man sound in his senses, who selt not, some time or other, such an impression, for God never lest himself without a witness; if any man, or number of men, have worn off that impression, it must have cost them many an unnatural effort; and what is the effect? they must be considered as

very fingular beings, exceptions from the rest of mankind, monsters in human form.

n fo

has

are

re-

ally

orks i be

ori=

beood:

fent

fea-

eath,

ll as

id of

rdvi-

per-

re, to

nd to

vere,

ofed;

te in-

nd in

n im-

ness;

im-

al ef-

red as

The doctrine, then, which I have endeavoured to establish, has no hurtful tendency with regard to religion or morals; it is founded upon the plan which Providence has adopted, and is, as might naturally be supposed, friendly to religion, and to mankind, sitted to enforce the one, and to promote the happiness of the other.

## CONCLUSION.

I. SINCE man could not have attained to the knowledge of God, nor of many things necessary for his prefervation, unless God had given an external revelation of himself, and of the things requisite for man, uninformed, to know; and since all men have some knowledge of a supreme Being, which must have been derived from such a revelation, I conclude, that, among others, this is a strong argument to convince us, that the Bible, of which that revelation, or these revelations, are a part, is the word of God.

Let the reader consider what passes in the world around him; let him trace back as far as human records can carry him, and what will he discover? That one generation of men, has been taught by another; that Providence has so ordered, that even the heathen, who were under strong temptations to have concealed this truth, confess, that what knowledge they had of God and of religion, was communicated to them by tradition: let him consider that the first man must have been taught by God himself; and that without such a cause, there never would have been such an effect; let him then peruse the history of

Moses, the most antient of all histories, part of which relates to sacts much more antient than itself; and let him read of the first revelation of God to man, and of the many subsequent discoveries which he made of himself; then, like a man who travels from the mouth of a long river, in search of its spring, he will cry out, I have found it! the source of all knowledge with regard to God and man's duty; and be fully convinced, that the history of Moses, and all the other parts of the holy scriptures, so necessarily connected with it, are of divine authority.

2. The plan of deism is an imaginary fabric, without the least foundation; the writers of that class, and their disciples, who neither by the influence nor for the honour of common sense, are become so numerous, pretend, that reason alone, without any external revelation, is sufficient to teach them every thing requisite for their present, and their suture happiness; and, therefore, since reason is sufficient, and God does nothing in vain, he never gave any external revelation of himself, nor of his will to mankind: a man of tolerable capacity, having access to all of their writings, might, from these, and the conversations of their followers, upon religious and moral subjects, compose a pretty, modish system, much to their credit who afford the materials.

They may call their fystem rational; but it never had, and never can have the sanction of sober reason; some of them represent mankind, (and their opinion is generally adopted by all the party) as under the rigid influence of sate, or determined by unavoidable necessity, in all they do; that, of course, their feelings of liberty are deceitful, an imposition, and, therefore, not to be depended on; and that what poor deluded men call sins, God considers as errors only.

ne

th 27 re-

nim

any

en,

ver,

it!

an's

ofes,

essa-

nout

heir

nour

that '

ient

and

fuf-

any

ind:

their

their

ofe a

l the

had, ne of

rally

ce of

they

tful,

on;

iders

Here, a character is ascribed to God, which, among men, would be detested, viz. that of a deceiver, as if he imposed upon them by feelings of liberty, and of remorse, when they think they have done amis; whereas he really intends no such thing; he must, of course, be considered as the author of, nay, that there is no such thing as mortals call sin; that what the scriptures, and providence, and right reason, and the feelings of mankind testify, God hates, and must eternally hate; he considers only as loughable, venial, innocent errors.

Besides, the author I have particularly in my view, overthrows his own, and, if it was possible, every other system, by his doctrine of deceitful feelings; for, if one sense or feeling, viz. our feeling of liberty is deceitful, by what means shall we know, that all the rest are not so too? how shall we know that there is a God, such creatures as men, such things as virtue and vice? Such is the dark, comfortless system which obtains among many, how far it is founded on reason or sense, every man, learned, or unleasned, may easily judge.

Another of these writers represents the want of chastity in women past child-bearing, as no great crime, if any crime at all\*.

<sup>\*</sup> The long and helpless infancy of man, requires the combination of parents for the subsistence of their young, and that combination requires the virtue of chastity, or fidelity to the marriage-bed; without such an utility, it will readily be owned, that such a virtue would never have been thought of.—
These rules have a reference to generation; and yet women past child-bearing are no more supposed to be exempted from them, than those in the flower of their youth and beauty. General rules are often extended beyond the principle whence they first arise. Essays by David Hume, Esq; Vol II. page 275. The same author classes, among the virtues of the lower

Having given a fample of deceitful reasoning on religious and moral topics, we shall return from this digression. They say, that reason, without revelation, is sufficient to teach them every thing necessary for them to know: but have they in no instance been indebted to revelation? have they never read any books composed by Christians, by which they have acquired the knowledge of sacts, of which they were ignorant before, and by which their reasoning saculties have been improved in some degree? If they have, as these writers admire, and have thankfully received information from the gospel, they must also have been profited by it.

Again, that each of them had a father and mother, cannot be doubted; and that they, or tutors substituted in their place, communicated to them the first impressions they had of religion and morals, and the first principles

order, broad shoulders, a lank belly, firm joints, taper legs; and quotes Diodorus Siculus, Lib XV. as an authority for his opinion. "In Epaminondas all the virtues are found united; " force of body, eloquence of expression," &c. page 315. Having enumerated many kinds of virtue, and endeavoured to shew, that they confist in being useful or agreeable to the person himself, or to others, he adds, "I must confess, that this enu-" meration puts the matter in fo strong a light, that I cannot, " at PRESENT, be more affured of any truth, which I learn from reasoning and argument, than that personal merit con-" fifts entirely in the usefulness or agreeableness of qualities to \*\* the person himself, or to others who have any intercourse " with him," page 351. But, however much affured he is in one page, in the next he becomes a sceptic: "But, when I re-" flect, that the bulk and figure of the earth have been mea-" fured and delineated, &c. yet men still dispute concerning 60 the foundation of their moral duties; when I reflect on this, " I fay, I fall back into diffidence and scepticism, and suspect, " that an hypothesis, so obvious, had it been true, would long ere now, have been received by the unanimous suffrage, and confent of mankind," page 352.

ft

g

V

reli-

igref-

is fufem to

to re-

led by

rledge

nd by

ed in

, and

ofpel,

, can-

ted in

flions

ciples

legs ;

or his

nited;

erfon

enu-

nnot,

learn con-

ies to

ourse

is in

mea-

rning

this,

long

and

315. ed to

of reason, we shall take for granted. Now, these parents, or these teachers, intrusted with their childrens education, have, for many ages past, been professors of Christianity, and indebted to it for the most material parts of their knowledge; and so, of course, must their descendents or pupils of the present generation be under the highest obligations to it.

Or, for argument's fake, let us suppose, that they are lineally descended from heathens, who never professed Christianity, nor read the holy scriptures; was not every race of these instructed by the preceding one? and do not some of the most intelligent and antient writers among them, who were lights in their generation, declare, that their knowledge of God, and of religion, was communicated to them by tradition? and these traditions, I have shown, had for their origin, revelation, or the word of God. In whatever point of view we consider this subject, it is evident, that unbelievers, of all ranks, are indebted, for their knowledge, such as it is, of religious and moral truths, to the gospel of Christ.

If then the man is ungrateful, who hides or denies his obligations, and pretends that he stood in no need, or derived from himself, what his benefactor really bestowed; professed unbelievers or the enemies of Christianity, must be equally ungrateful; ungrateful to God who hath taught them in such a manner, and ungrateful to men, the means, in his hand, of their instruction.

If that fon is unnatural, who attempts to wound or flab the mother that bare him, the men we speak of must be equally unnatural, because, though they derived their knowledge from Christianity, they turn the edge of it against Christianity, and endeavour, by all means, to overthrow it; but, thanks to God, the soundation standeth fure. Many dogs bark at the moon, but she continues, nevertheless, steadily, to run her course.

They are, besides, cruel to their fellow men; should a fet of men steal your son's money, should they rob him of his property, and take away his life into the bargain, they would furely be confidered as unjust, and enemies to fociety; but your fon, although reduced to poverty, may reap benefit by it; though he be deprived of life, death may turn out to be gain to him: but, should a number of men, by ridicule, by fly infinuations, or specious objections against the truth of the gospel, should they, by writings deliberately composed, and industriously diffeminated every where, with a view to bring Christianity into difrepute, beget in your fon's mind doubts concerning the word of God, and, by degrees, alienate his heart from it, how dismal must be his condition! and yours, if you have the feelings of a father, on his account! he is involved in mazes of uncertainty, and a state of doubt and uncertainty is next to a flate of damnation; his hope, with regard to futurity, is cut off by his unbelief; and what is a man without hope, hope, the joy of youth, and the nurse of old age! and what words can paint the guilt of those who rob their fellow men of fuch a bleffing, and who plunge them into the depths of scepticism, these toils of Satan, from which few of them ever escape?

th

jı

th

T

to

na

w

pe

fu

fe

m

go

th

m

tio

ne

ma

thi

un

3. Ithank God, who from time to time has raifed up men, who, with learning, with zeal and dignity becoming their profession, have stood forth in the defence of the gospel; who, by a variety of arguments taken from the miracles and prophecies recorded in scripture, from the evidence of testimony, from analogy, from the harmony visible through the several parts of the Bible, and from the suitableness of that plan to the state of our minds, their

wants, their depravity and wretchedness, have put their adversaries to shame, if, indeed, they were susceptible of that feeling, or had the discretion, shall I call it, never to retail objections which have again and again been repeated, and as often unanswerably refuted.

conti-

ould a

im of

rgain,

emies

y, may

death

imber us ob-

ey, by

diffe-

ianity

cern-

heart

ars, if

he is

doubt

hope,

; and

, and

guilt

, and

thefe

3 ...

men,

their

ofpel;

racles

lence

ifible

fuit-

their

At the same time, I must sincerely regret, that they have made so many needless concessions, and yielded, without any necessity, advantages to their adversaries, of which they have had the fagacity to avail themselves. Say the enemies of Christianity, "The light of nature teaches all men that there is a God, one God; that he is powerful, wife, and good; that there is a providence; that the foul is immortal; that men ought to be pious. just, and fober; and that they should do to others as they would reasonably wish others would do to them." These things the defenders of Christianity generally grant. Thus they are confined to narrow ground, and obliged to defend themselves under many disadvantages. Tho nature, fay they, teaches fo many truths, there are fome which it could never discover, viz. that there are three persons in the Godhead; that Christ was to come and fuffer fo much for us, and the like. Sometimes they defend their principles in this manner: Nature teaches many important truths; but God has given us, in the gospel, a re-publication of these, together with some others, which otherwise we could not have known, in a manner the most clear, and the least subject to alteration.

As the friends of the gospelgo so far with, and shew such needless complaisance to its enemies, they must, in good manners, in some shape, return the compliment; and this they do, by bestowing on the gospel some encomiums, viz. that it contains a most excellent system of mo-

rals, and is fitted, in so far, to do good to society: but, gentlemen, as for your mysteries, our REASON, which is in the place of God to us, cannot comprehend them; therefore it bids us reject them: and, with regard to your twosold revelation of the same things, by nature, and by the gospel, our REASON tells us, that it is not the way of God, to do his work by halves, or to do any thing so imperfectly, as to stand in need of amendment. Thus, like Judas, they kis, in order to betray. And it is to be feriously considered, whether these improper, unnecessary, and groundless concessions, have not contributed as much, or more than any other circumstance, to harden unbelievers in their opposition to the gospel.

Whereas, had the friends of Christianity denied the first principles of their opponents, and called upon them to shew, which they ought to have done, but could not, that these truths are self-evident, or that they were discovered by reason, and by it only; or had the friends of Christianity shewn, which they might have done, that the knowledge of God, which its enemies ascribe to reason, was derived from revelation; and that the weapons by which they attempt to overthrow Christianity, they have drawn from Christianity. Thus, the very soundation of that tower, by which they proposed to have reached to heaven, would have been entirely sapped, and the boasted superstructure overturned.

0

tr

b

Q

n

ha

A

tu

th

4. That there is such a thing as natural religion, is, for the most part, taken for granted; and many volumes have been writ on that subject: if, by natural religion, they mean that men are naturally disposed to receive certain impressions of God, and of their duty; that such impressions, every where made among men, have been occasioned, first by tradition, or external revelation, and

encouraged, and maintained by the works of creation and providence, daily observed, and their influence selt, there would be no room for dispute.

but,

ch is

hem:

your

nd by

way

ng lo

hus.

to be

ecef-

outed

har-

d the

them

not,

e dif-

ds of

at the

afon,

as by

have

on of

ed to

pasted

n, is,

umes

gion,

e cer-

fuch

been

, and

But, as this is not their meaning, as they maintain that reason, by means of the works of nature, without any external revelation, may discover, and has discovered, that there is a God, of such and such perfections, and in a word, a system of religion, in a great measure, complete without the gospel, and independent of it; that there ever was such a religion, or can be, I must, for the many reasons formerly adduced, entirely deny: and if the religion commonly called natural, took its rise from tradition or revelation, not from reason unaided by tradition, as I have, I hope, already proved, is it not sit, whatever be the consequence, to assume and hold by this as a truth?

But, if we attend to the consequences, it will be found, that the opinion generally held concerning natural religion, is hurtful to the influence of the gospel, and therefore to the interests of mankind, but that the other opinion is favourable to both. A man trained with high notions of natural religion, or of his own reason, and of its discoveries, is little concerned, or not so much as another of a different perfuasion, whether the gospel be true or not; whether it prove successful in the world, or be rejected by mankind, because he has a system of his own, founded upon the eternal reason and unchangeable nature of things, which will, in a great measure, or, perhaps, entirely answer his purpose, without Christianity. All the doctrines revealed in the word of God must be tried by his pre-conceived notions, or the fupposed natural dictates of his reason; and, if they agree not with these, they must be new-modelled, explained away, and

Q

Jaid aside. Accordingly, we find, that many of these doctrines, which are all of high importance, are treated by many professing Christians, teachers, and their disciples, as unnecessary, nay, unreasonable and absurd, and therefore rejected.

Whereas the man, who feels, and is fenfible of his of bligations to the gospel, as the only source of his religious knowledge, sensible of his dependence, reads the word of God, as the instructor and model of his reason: he reads for information, and when, by comparing passages with one another, he discovers their meaning; he believes with readiness and humility, because he considers them as a revelation of the nature, of the persections and will of God to him, though he does not persectly comprehend the truths thus revealed.

For the fake of illustration, let us suppose that a great monarch, in some quarter of the earth, unknown to us, fends a writing, addressed to a number of men in Great Britain, in which he engages to bestow upon them, in some after period, a great treasure, requiring them to believe certain articles which he mentions concerning the complexion and stature of the men, the form of government, and the fruits of that country, of which they had no previous knowledge, and to perform certain duties; without the belief of which articles, and the performance of which duties, they are, by no means, to expect the treasure which he has promised, which would greatly enrich them; they know, we shall suppose, that this writing is an expression of that monarch's will, either written by his own hand, or by another's under his inspection, and that they are all extremely fond of the treasure promifed.

t

ŀ

di

ev

60

the

on

The question among them now comes to be, how shall

thefo

eated

r dif-

l, and

his o

religi-

is the

eafon:

g pal-

g; he

consi-

rfecti-

per-

great

to us,

Great

em, in

to be-

ng the

overn-

ey had

duties;

mance

ct the

greatly

s writ-

r writ-

inspec-

reafure

w shall

we understand his will concerning the articles to be believed, and the actions to be performed, that we may not, by our misapprehensions, disobey him, and forfeit his promise? Here severals step forth, and declare their mode of explication: we have, say they, a faculty which we call reason, the sovereign judge of all things in heaven, much more of all things upon earth, let us bring the contents of that paper to this test; if they endure the trial, it is well; if not, we must bring them down to our own standard, otherwise we must be unbelievers.

But what is here! men of fuch complexion and stature, a government of such a form, and trees and plants bearing such fruits! we never saw such things; we know nothing of them: therefore, however explicit these articles are, and how often soever repeated through the record, we cannot understand, nor believe them, in their obvious, natural meaning, our reason will not allow us: that government, these men and plants, must be precisely such as we have among ourselves; they must, for there can be nothing in the world different from what we daily see, and know, and are thoroughly acquainted with.

The rest reply, ye boast much of, and make a great parade with your REASON; but, in the present case, ye have shown yourselves to be more ignorant than children, and void of common sense. Is it not evident, that we know nothing of that prince, of his country, or of his will, except by this writing alone? Had we known every thing which he has revealed, before he revealed it, common sense declares, that it would have been needless to have sent us this record: therefore it is, by comparing the several parts of the writing together, and by that way only, that we can understand what his will is; and if,

Q 2

by this means, we can discover his meaning, we must believe him, though we understand not perfectly the nature of the things of which he fpeaks, and we must act accordingly. Now, which of these two sets of men reafon most justly, and act most agreeably to the principles of common fense? The last furely; the application is obvious and begin a new manufactor to the test than 1950

5. According to the mode of our education, by preposterously ranking natural religion or reason first in order, and the word of God in the fecond place, we are naturally led to depend much on the first; and the more we ascribe to natural religion, or to reason, by which it is attained, we, unhappily, detract fo much from the gospel. What I am to say on the following subjects, shall be expressed with much dissidence, and due deserence to it is a substitution of

men of fuperior judgment.

If, With regard to moral philosophy; does the science of morals respect our external actions only? does it not extend to the heart, to its dispositions and principles? and is it not its province, to point out the most powerful motives to virtuous actions? what are these actions, these principles, and motives? are we to hammer the knowledge of these out of our own heads? perhaps not; this knowledge is derived fome how by information from without; accordingly we are directed to a long lift of venerable antients, to Plato, Aristotle, Xenophon, Arrian's Epictetuts, Cicero, Seneca, &c. all of them much to be valued on many accounts; but are we to fwallow, implicitly, every thing they fay, on the subject of morals? or are they the standard by which we may, infallibly, judge of our actions, whether they be moral, or defective and immoral? or, if the principles of their knowledge, which they have dreffed up, and reduced into form, were depriety, in having recourse, now and then, to the original, I mean, to the word of God?

Does moral philosophy respect our conduct toward our fellow men, and ourselves only, without any special regard to our behaviour toward God? by whose authority was the system of morals thus limited? upon what soundation in nature is such an idea of that science established? Can a man behave in a manner strictly moral toward his neighbour, while he pays no regard to God? can a mason raise a baseless sabric of stone in the air? or can a gardener make a branch produce plenty of good fruit, when the root, and all the other parts of the tree are entirely dead?

Can a man be faid to be just, in the extensive sense of the word, while God, the great head of fociety, is not regarded, or left out of his plan? If men cannot behave dutifully to their neighbours or themselves, unless they have a devout regard to God, how can they behave dutifully to him, without the knowledge of him, and of his will? how is this knowledge to be acquired? will even the divine Plato instruct them fusiciently on this head? If regard is to be had to God in the study and practice of morals, his will, made known to all men, must be the great standard of moral conduct, the infallible rule by which men are to judge of their dispositions and of their actions: that will or law is expressed, in brief, in Exod. xx chapter. Would it be unfit, unfeemly, unhandsome, or inconsistent with the protellion of a moral philosopher, or an unpardonable incroachment on the province of the theologian, should he either chuse for his text the moral law, contained in the

oy prein orwe are e more

uft be-

he na-

ust act

en rea-

nciples

is ob-

hich it the gos, shall ence to

it not ciples? werful s, thefe wledge knowithout; ble an-Epictevalued licitly, or are adge of and im-

which

Bible, or, at least, make frequent references to that fac-

Will not young men, if accustomed, during the course of their education, to put an high value on the word of God, and to have their minds formed under its influence, be readily disposed, when they enter into the world, to act their part well, whether in church or state? or to listen to its reproofs, and receive essectual checks from it when they have done amiss?

Or if, during their education, especially during their study of moral philosophy, they have been accustomed to overlook the sacred scriptures, to substitute in their place, and to admire something of infinitely less importance, some cobweb-theory of morals, may they not, are they not, by the manner of their education, sitted, as it were, industriously, to be an easy prey to scepticism? may they not readily plunge into the gulph of insidelity, and thus become a burden to themselves, and a pest to society? These queries I leave, with great respect, to the serious consideration of those who profess to be thoroughly acquainted with this subject.

adly, As to theology; is it proper for young men to lay entirely aside, or, in a great measure, to neglect the sacred scriptures, and, in order to study natural religion, as the soundation of Christianity, to betake themselves to heathen writers, whether Greek or Latin? are there not many things in them which the gospel condemns? Perhaps you will compare these with, and correct them by the doctrines and precepts of Christianity; but why prefer them, in any measure, or for any time, to that, which, in your own opinion, is the standard? why overlook the word of God on their account, when, from it, they have

1

o

received, either mediately or immediately, the best things they have written on the subject of religion or morals?

t fa-

ourse

rd of

ence,

o act

when

their

omed

their

apor-

not,

itted,

gism?

elityx

o fo-

o the

ugh-

t the

gion,

Per-

m by

hich,

k the

have

A man having his mind stored with the notions of the Academics, or his heart tinctured with the pride of the Stoics, is ill prepared to believe, with humility, the doctrines of the gospel, or to submit, with reverence, to its holy precepts. Origen, that great genius in the primitive church, and many others educated in the same school with him, may be a sufficient warning to succeeding ages, not to mix philosophy with Christianity, nor to attempt to explain the doctrines of the gospel, by the notions of Plato, or of any other philosopher, however celebrated.

A man acquainted with the truth, a man of erudition and discernment, will be in no hazard of disgracing the pulpit, by quotations from Plato or Seneca, in proof, or for illustration of what he says, infinuating by this practice, if his hearers understand his infinuations, that there are many defects in the gospel, and, therefore, that such supplements, in the judgment of their teacher, are quite necessary: nor will he be under any temptation to make a comparison between Socrates, the father of scepticism, and our Saviour, the Son of God; nor between Seneca, who died by his own hand, and the great Apostle of the Gentiles, as if they resembled, in any degree, one another.

In the last place; ye are unspeakably happy, who receive the facred scriptures as the word of God; who, by means of science, falsely so called, are not plagued with doubts concerning their truth or authenticity; who sirmly believe the gospel, and whose hearts and lives are gradually formed, under the influence of its doctrines and of its precepts. Though your conditions in life may put it out of your power to study many languages, or read

many books, how thankful should ye be, that ye have easy access to that book, in your own language, from which the principles of all knowledge have been communicated to all mankind? ye have that revelation of God's will, to which the learned, after many researches for truth and happiness, are glad to return, if indeed they return, much fatigued, disappointed, and often wounded, as the sure ground of their hope, and as a resting place to their souls.

-

46

\*

46

"

There we have the most antient, the most entertaining, the most interesting, and instructive, of all histories, the history of the creation, and of mankind in their innocent and in their fallen state, and of the conduct of Providence towards them; the most grand, the most fublime and affecting descriptions; characters of every kind drawn with a fidelity and exactness no where else to be met with, that ye may, without trouble or expence, without waiting for instruction by experience, learn to imitate the good. to shun the path, and escape the miseries of the bad: there we have the most comfortable doctrines, perfectly fitted, by infinite wisdom and mercy, to your condition, that ye might be delivered from the fear of the past, that ye might enjoy that peace of which your minds are fo defirous, that ye might be filled with hope and confidence, and ferve God without fear.

There ye find the most awful threatenings, and the most encouraging promises, calculated to alarm your fears, to inspire you with hope, to lead you to the refuge of your souls, and engage you in his service.

There the law of God shines forth very extensive, and very pure, holy, just, and good; that, by it, ye may examine your past, and regulate your future conduct.

"Let the word of Christ dwell richly in you, in all wisdom; receive, with meekness, the ingrasted word, which is able to save your souls. But continue thou in the things which thou hast learned, and hast been affured of, knowing of whom thou hast learned them, and that from a child thou hast known the holy scriptures, which are able to make thee wise to salvation, through faith which is in Christ Jesus. All scripture is given by inspiration of God, and is profitable for doctrine, for reproof, for correction, for instruction in righteousness, that the man of God may be perfect, thoroughly surnished unto all good works."

Tim. iii chapter.

THE END.

e good, e bad; rfectly dition, ft, that are fo

from

com-

ion of

arches

d they

unded,

place

ining,

es, the

nocent

idence

nd afn with h, that

vaiting

nd the your he re-

confi-

e, and ay exa-

## [ 131 ]

to fide word of Christ dwell right; in you, in all to wildow; receive, with mechnets, the ingrafted word, which is able to fave your foods. That continue the firm the times which then then hair learned, and half been as affired of, knowing of whom their learned them, as affired of, knowing of whom their known the learned them, as and that from a child thou half known the bioly feriperates, which are none to make the wife to fair dron, through inith which is in Corie size. All feriperare is given by inquition of God, and is profitable to do docknow, for remedy for correction, far indruces the man of God may be perfect, thoroughly formilised man of God may be perfect, thoroughly formilised man of God may be firm, in chafter.

